

From Double Lie Groups to Quantum Groups.

Piotr Stachura^{*†}

February 1, 2008

Abstract It is shown that there is a C^* -algebraic quantum group related to any double Lie group. An algebra underlying this quantum group is an algebra of a differential groupoid naturally associated with a double Lie group.

0 Introduction

The theory of objects we now call Quantum Groups is from its very beginning related to group factorization. First constructions are due to Kac [1]. Then an important step (in the context of Kac-von Neumann algebras) was made by Majid [2, 3]. Afterwards, Baaj and Skandalis created their theory of multiplicative unitaries [4]. From this moment on one could expect that there is a C^* -algebraic quantum group behind any (locally compact) group factorization. And indeed, recently a very general construction of C^* -algebraic quantum groups related to a group factorization was presented in [5].

This paper is devoted to a construction of quantum groups from factorizations known as Double Lie Groups (DLG) [6]. In contrast to [5] we are able to use different, more geometric methods, because we deal with Lie groups. This fact enables us to use their rich geometric structure.

Since we are dealing with Lie groups, the objects used in our constructions are defined at a smooth level. All of them have a clear geometric interpretation as objects related to differential groupoids associated naturally with DLGs. Starting from a concrete algebra of such objects we construct at this smooth level various mappings, which appear in the theory of quantum groups. Then by using density arguments we lift those mappings to a C^* -algebraic level.

This paper is full of long integrals and other technical details. Therefore, in order to improve its readability, we sketch its conceptual framework, which, we believe, is simple and natural. For any DLG $(G; A, B)$ there is a naturally defined multiplicative unitary operator (in fact there are four of them). This operator is manageable in the sense of Woronowicz as was proved in [7]. Therefore, by the results of Woronowicz from [8], there is a quantum group (modulo the problem of Haar measure) associated with any DLG.

Additionally, given a triple $(G; A, B)$, one can define two differential groupoid structures on G : G_A and G_B over A and B , respectively. It turns out that a multiplication of one of the groupoids, say G_B , defines a morphism in the sense of Zakrzewski [9] from G_A to $G_A \times G_A$. This morphism is coassociative. Using a construction presented in [10] we get a coassociative morphism from $C^*(G_A)$ to $C^*(G_A \times G_A)$. We may suppose that $C^*(G_A \times G_A)$ is (some sort of) $C^*(G_A) \otimes C^*(G_A)$. Therefore, the morphism constructed as above begins to resemble a comultiplication, one of the main ingredients of a quantum group structure. Completion of such construction of a comultiplication complicates the fact that we are not able to prove the equality $C^*(G_A \times G_A) = C^*(G_A) \otimes C^*(G_A)$. Nevertheless, we have a corresponding morphism of *reduced* C^* -algebras. It turns out, as one can expect, that this morphism is implemented by the multiplicative unitary.

Due to great richness of the structure of a DLG, its other components enable us to find natural objects giving us almost a Hopf algebra structure. Moreover, they enable to construct an invariant

^{*}Department of Mathematical Methods in Physics, University of Warsaw, Hoża 74, 00-682 Warszawa, Poland

[†]The work was supported by Polish KBN grant No. 2 P03A 030 14 and The Foundation for Polish Science.

positive functional on it with a natural modular group. To be more specific, group inverse implements the unitary part of an antipode; a natural cocycle on a groupoid implements a scaling group; a distinguished fiber gives a “counit”; and Haar density on the set of units of a groupoid gives us an invariant functional. We give explicit formulae for all of these mappings.

An additional advantage of the geometric approach we use in this paper is that, after recognizing the geometric content of a formula, we usually can prove it using only the very standard and well known results from calculus: the Fubini theorem and change of variables for a Riemann integral of smooth functions.

Although from a theoretical point of view this work adds nothing to the pure theory of quantum groups, it shows, we believe, interesting links of the theory of quantum groups with differential geometry, and, may be even more importantly, provides us with plenty of examples. In the examples constructed this way we have a natural, dense $*$ -subalgebra of “smooth” elements in our C^* -algebra, which, putting aside subtleties with densities, is an algebra of smooth, compactly supported functions, with a kind of convolution as a product. As a result, we can use various techniques and estimates from the theory of integral and appeal to our geometric intuitions in order to do our computations. Finally, these examples can serve as testing ground for general theory of non-compact quantum groups. Such a theory, we believe, is not finished even at the conceptual level.

There are also connections of our work with “quantization” of some semi-direct product Poisson-Lie groups, among them κ -Poincaré group. However, in this case the situation is not so good. Namely, the construction presented in the paper does not directly apply, since the set of decomposable elements in G i.e. $\{g \in G : g = ab = \tilde{b}\tilde{a}, a, \tilde{a} \in A, b, \tilde{b} \in B\}$ is only open and dense in G , instead of being equal to G . Nevertheless, the methodology proposed in this paper can be applied also in this case and may give us correct results.

Let us now briefly describe the content of the paper. In section 1 we collect basic facts about differential groupoids, their morphisms and related algebras, in order to make the paper more self-contained. Section 2 is devoted to proofs of some technical results used later on. In the third section we present groupoids related to DLG. In section 4 we prove that our constructions indeed provide a comultiplication in the sense of the theory of quantum groups. In section 5 we show that the algebra of a groupoid G_A is very similar to a Hopf algebra, by identifying other ingredients of its structure and proving some needed formulae. The sixth section is devoted to the Haar measure. We use the results from [10], where it was shown that there is a natural class of KMS weights on any differential groupoid. Since in a groupoid G_A the set of units is a group A , one can distinguish invariant half-densities on A . These half-densities give us Haar measure on a quantum group based on G_A . In the last section we collect all the constructions and results. Finally, there are two appendixes, where we give a geometric interpretation of a cocycle implementing a scaling group, and relate an algebra associated to a multiplicative unitary with a groupoid algebra.

In the end of the introduction the author wants to say, that the idea of construction presented in this paper was born during his collaboration with Stanislaw Zakrzewski. The author also wants to thank Robert Owczyński for his useful comments.

1 Differential groupoids, their morphisms and algebras.

In this section we introduce notation, recall basic facts about groupoids, their morphisms (in the sense of S. Zakrzewski) and action of morphisms on groupoid algebras. For a detailed exposition of the subject we refer to [9] (differential groupoids and morphisms) and [10] (constructions related to groupoid algebras).

The category of differential groupoids.

Let us recall that a *differential relation* r from a manifold X to a manifold Y is a triple $(X, Y; R)$, such that $R =: Gr(r)$ is a submanifold in $Y \times X$. By a submanifold we always mean *embedded submanifold*. All manifolds considered here are *smooth*, Hausdorff, and have countable bases of neighborhoods. A

relation r from X to Y will be denoted by $r : X \multimap Y$. For a relation $r : X \multimap Y$, by r^T we denote a transposed relation, i.e. $r^T : Y \multimap X$ is given by $Gr(r^T) := \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : (y, x) \in Gr(r)\}$. A composition of differential relations may fail to be a differential relation. Therefore, in a definition below we assume that there are compositions, which give again differential relations. There are also other conditions which, together with this composition property, are contained in the notion of *transversality* [9]. A transversality of differential relations r and s will be denoted by $r \uparrow s$. A differential relation $r : X \multimap Y$ is a *differential reduction* if $r = fi^T$, where i is an inclusion mapping of a submanifold $C \subset X$ and $f : C \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective submersion. Now we recall a definition of a differential groupoid.

Definition 1.1 [9] Let Γ be a manifold. A *differential groupoid structure* on Γ is a triple (m, s, e) , where $m : \Gamma \times \Gamma \multimap \Gamma$ is a differential reduction, $e : \{1\} \multimap \Gamma$ is a differential relation, $s : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is an involutive diffeomorphism and the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $m(m \times id) = m(id \times m)$
2. $m(e \times id) = m(id \times e) = id$
3. $m(s \times s) \sim sm$, where $\sim : \Gamma \times \Gamma \ni (x, y) \mapsto (y, x) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma$
4. For any $x \in \Gamma$ $\emptyset \neq m(x, s(x)) \subset e(\{1\})$
5. $m \uparrow (m \times id), m \uparrow (id \times m), m \uparrow (e \times id), m \uparrow (id \times e)$.

It follows that objects defined in this way coincide with standard differential groupoids. The set $E := e(\{1\})$ is a submanifold of Γ called *the set of identities*. There are also two projections (surjective submersions): *source or right projection*: $e_R : \Gamma \ni x \mapsto m(s(x), x) \in E$, and *target or left projection*: $e_L : \Gamma \ni x \mapsto m(x, s(x)) \in E$. A fiber of e_L passing through g (i.e. the set $\{x \in \Gamma : e_L(x) = g\}$) will be denoted by $F_l(g)$, and similarly a fiber of e_R by $F_r(g)$.

Now comes a definition of a morphism. It should be stressed that this definition *does not coincide* with the standard one. For examples and motivations see [9, 10].

Definition 1.2 [9] Let (Γ, m, s, e) and (Γ', m', s', e') be differential groupoids. A differential relation $h : \Gamma \multimap \Gamma'$ is a *morphism* from Γ to Γ' iff

1. $hm = m'(h \times h)$
2. $hs = s'h$
3. $he = e'$
4. $m' \uparrow (h \times h), h \uparrow e$.

In the next proposition we collect basic properties of objects associated with a morphism.

Proposition 1.3 [9] Let $h : \Gamma \multimap \Gamma'$ be a morphism of differential groupoids. Then

1. The formula $Gr(f_h) := (E \times E') \cap Gr(h^T)$ defines a smooth mapping $f_h : E' \rightarrow E$.
2. For each $b \in E'$, the relation h^T restricted to $F_l(b) \times F_l(f_h(b))$ defines a smooth mapping $h_b^L : F_l(f_h(b)) \rightarrow F_l(b)$. The same is true for restriction to right fibers with the resulting map $h_b^R : F_r(f_h(b)) \rightarrow F_r(b)$.
3. The set $\Gamma \times_h \Gamma' := \{(x, y) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma' : e_R(x) = f_h(e'_L(y))\}$ is a submanifold of $\Gamma \times \Gamma'$.
4. The set $\Gamma *_h \Gamma' := \{(x, y) \in \Gamma \times \Gamma' : e_L(x) = f_h(e'_L(y))\}$ is a submanifold of $\Gamma \times \Gamma'$.
5. The sets $\Gamma *_h E'$ and $\Gamma \times_h E'$ are submanifolds and the mappings $h^L : \Gamma *_h E' \ni (x, b) \mapsto h_b^L(x) \in \Gamma'$ and $h^R : \Gamma \times_h E' \ni (x, b) \mapsto h_b^R(x) \in \Gamma'$ are smooth.
6. The mapping $m_h : \Gamma \times_h \Gamma' \ni (x, y) \mapsto m'(h^R(x, e'_L(y)), y) \in \Gamma'$ is a surjective submersion.

7. The mapping $t_h : \Gamma \times_h \Gamma' \ni (x, y) \mapsto (x, m_h(x, y)) \in \Gamma *_h \Gamma'$ is a diffeomorphism.
8. The mapping: $\pi_2 : \Gamma \times_h \Gamma' \ni (x, y) \mapsto y \in \Gamma'$ is a surjective submersion and $\pi_2^{-1}(y)$ is diffeomorphic to $F_r(f_h(e'_L(y)))$.
9. The mapping $\tilde{\pi}_2 : \Gamma *_h \Gamma' \ni (x, y) \mapsto y \in \Gamma'$ is a surjective submersion and $\tilde{\pi}_2^{-1}(y)$ is diffeomorphic to $F_l(f_h(e'_L(y)))$.
10. Points 6-9 remain true if we replace Γ' by $F_r(y)$ for any $y \in \Gamma'$ and restrict the corresponding mappings in an obvious way.

■

Bidensities.

Let Γ be a differential groupoid and let $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L), (\Omega^{1/2}(e_R))$ be a bundle of smooth, complex-valued, half-densities along left (right) fibers of Γ . By $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ we denote the linear space of compactly supported, smooth sections of the bundle $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(e_R)$ [11]. Its elements will be called *bidensities* and usually denoted by ω . So $\omega(x) = \lambda(x) \otimes \rho(x) \in \Omega^{1/2}T_x^l\Gamma \otimes \Omega^{1/2}T_x^r\Gamma$, where $T_x^l\Gamma := T_x(F_l(x))$ and $T_x^r\Gamma := T_x(F_r(x))$. In the following we also write $\Omega_L^{1/2}(x) := \Omega^{1/2}T_x^l\Gamma$ and $\Omega_R^{1/2}(x) := \Omega^{1/2}T_x^r\Gamma$.

We also use the following notation: if M, N are manifolds, $F : M \rightarrow N$ and Ψ is some geometric object on M which can be pushed-forward by F , then we denote the push-forward of ψ simply by $F\psi$. What it really means will be clear from the context. So below we write for example $s(v)$ instead of $\Lambda T_x s(v)$ for $v \in \Lambda^{max}T_x^l\Gamma$ (for a vector space V by $\Lambda^{max}V$ we denote the maximal non-zero exterior power of V).

The groupoid inverse induces a star operation on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$:

$$\omega^*(x)(v \otimes w) := \overline{\omega(s(x))(s(w) \otimes s(v))}, \quad v \in \Lambda^{max}T_x^l\Gamma, \quad w \in \Lambda^{max}T_x^r\Gamma$$

Because s is an involutive diffeomorphism which interchanges left and right fibers, the $*$ -operation is a well defined antilinear involution.

One can define a multiplication on the vector space $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$. This multiplication introduces a $*$ -algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$. The formula for multiplication is a special case of a more general construction presented in [10] and will be given later on. Before giving the formula, we define some special sections of $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(e_R)$, which are very convenient for computations.

Since left(right) translations are diffeomorphisms of left(right) fibers, we can define in a natural way left(right)-invariant sections of $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L)(\Omega^{1/2}(e_R))$. Any left-invariant section of $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L)$ is determined by its value on E and, conversely, any section of $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L)|_E$ can be uniquely extended to a left-invariant section of $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L)$.

So let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be a non-vanishing, real, half-density on E along left fibers (one constructs such a density by covering E with maps adapted to the submersion e_L and using appropriate partition of unity to glue them together). We define

$$\lambda_0(x)(v) := \tilde{\lambda}(e_R(x))(s(x)v), \quad v \in \Lambda^{max}T_x^l\Gamma.$$

This λ_0 is a left-invariant, real, non-vanishing section of $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L)$. Now, $\tilde{\rho} := \tilde{\lambda}s$ is a non-vanishing, real, half-density on E along right fibers, and ρ_0 defined by

$$\rho_0(x)(w) := \tilde{\rho}(e_L(x))(ws(x)), \quad w \in \Lambda^{max}T_x^r\Gamma$$

is a right-invariant, non-vanishing, real section of $\Omega^{1/2}(e_L)$. Let $\omega_0 := \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$, then this is a real, non-vanishing bidensity (of course, it doesn't belong to $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ but we will still call it bidensity).

From now on the symbol ω_0 will always mean bidensity constructed in this way.

When ω_0 is chosen any element $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ can be written uniquely as $\omega = f \omega_0$ for some smooth, complex-valued function f with compact support. Note the following simple:

Lemma 1.4 *If $\omega = f\omega_0$ then $\omega^* = f^*\omega_0$, where $f^*(x) := \overline{f(s(x))}$.* ■

Action of groupoid morphisms on bidensities.

It turns out that groupoid morphisms act on bidensities, i.e. for a morphism $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ one can construct a linear mapping $\hat{h} : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Lin}(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma'))$ which behaves very nicely with respect to a composition of morphisms and $*$ -operation. This construction depends in a crucial way on the prop. 1.3. Let us briefly describe the construction here.

Let $a \in E'$, $(x, y) \in \Gamma \times_h F_r(a)$, $t_h(x, y) =: (x, z)$ and $b := e'_L(z)$. Due to the prop. 1.3 we have the following isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} i_1 : \Omega_R^{1/2}(x) \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}(y) &\longrightarrow \Omega^{1/2}T_{(x,y)}(\Gamma \times_h F_r(a)), \\ t_h : \Omega^{1/2}T_{(x,y)}(\Gamma \times_h F_r(a)) &\longrightarrow \Omega^{1/2}T_{(x,z)}(\Gamma *_h F_r(a)), \\ i_2 : \Omega_L^{1/2}(x) \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}(z) &\longrightarrow \Omega^{1/2}T_{(x,z)}(\Gamma *_h F_r(a)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus: $(i_2)^{-1}t_h i_1(\rho_x \otimes \rho_y) =: \lambda_x \otimes \rho_z$ for some $\lambda_x \otimes \rho_z \in \Omega_L^{1/2}(x) \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}(z)$.

Moreover, since the mapping: $F_l(y) \ni u \mapsto h_{e'_L(y)}^R(x)u \in F_l(z)$ is a diffeomorphism, it defines isomorphism between $\Omega_L^{1/2}(y)$ and $\Omega_L^{1/2}(z)$.

Now let $\omega = \lambda \otimes \rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ $\omega' = \lambda' \otimes \rho' \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$. Then $(i_2)^{-1}t_h i_1(\rho(x) \otimes \rho'(y)) =: \tilde{\lambda}_x \otimes \tilde{\rho}_z$, and $h_{e'_L(y)}^R(x)\lambda'(y) =: \tilde{\lambda}'_z$, so the expression $[\lambda(x)\tilde{\lambda}_x] \otimes \tilde{\lambda}'_z \otimes \tilde{\rho}_z$ defines a 1-density on $F_l(f_h(b))$ with values in one-dimensional vector space $\Omega_L^{1/2}(z) \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}(z)$. In this way we can define a mapping $\hat{h}$ mentioned above

$$(\hat{h}(\omega)\omega')(z) := \int_{F_l(f_h(b))} [\lambda\tilde{\lambda}] \otimes \tilde{\lambda}'_z \otimes \tilde{\rho}_z.$$

Choose $\omega_0 = \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$, $\omega'_0 = \lambda'_0 \otimes \rho'_0$. Then $(i_2)^{-1}t_h i_1(\rho_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(y)) =: t_h(x, y)\lambda_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(z)$ for some smooth, non-vanishing function $t_h : \Gamma \times_h \Gamma' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $h_{e'_L(y)}^R(x)\lambda'_0(y) = \lambda'_0(z)$. For $\omega = f_1\omega_0$, $\omega' = f_2\omega'_0$ we get the explicit expression

$$(\hat{h}(\omega)\omega')(z) := \left[\int_{F_l(f_h(b))} \lambda_0^2(x)f_1(x)t_h(x, y)f_2(y) \right] \omega'_0(z) =: (f_1 *_h f_2)(z)\omega'_0(z), \quad (1)$$

where y is defined by $t_h(x, y) = (x, z)$, i.e. $y = s'(h_b^L(x))z$.

Now taking $h = id : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ we get algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ and the formula for the product is

$$\omega_1\omega_2 =: (f_1 *_h f_2)\omega_0, \quad (f_1 *_h f_2)(x) := \int_{F_l(x)} \lambda_0^2(y)f_1(y)f_2(s(y)x) = \int_{F_r(x)} \rho_0^2(y)f_1(xs(y))f_2(y). \quad (2)$$

It seems that there is no natural, geometric, norm on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$, but one can introduce the family of useful norms “indexed” by ω_0 ’s [12, 10]. Then, let us choose λ_0 and write $\omega = f\omega_0$. Let us define quantities:

$$\|\omega\|_l := \sup_{a \in \Gamma^0} \int_{F_l(a)} \lambda_0^2 |f|, \quad \|\omega\|_r := \sup_{a \in \Gamma^0} \int_{F_r(a)} \rho_0^2 |f|, \quad \|\omega\|_0 := \max\{\|\omega\|_l, \|\omega\|_r\}. \quad (3)$$

(We do not explicitly write the dependence on λ_0 to make our notation simpler.) It can be shown that $\|\omega\|_l$, $\|\omega\|_r$, $\|\omega\|_0$ are norms, and $(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma), *, \|\cdot\|_0)$ is a normed $*$ -algebra.

Representation of an algebra of a groupoid associated with a morphism.

Let Ψ be a smooth half-density on Γ' with compact support and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$, $\omega = \lambda \otimes \rho$. Let $(x, y) \in \Gamma \times_h \Gamma'$ and $t_h(x, y) =: (x, z)$. As in the definition of $\hat{h}$, $\rho(x) \otimes \Psi(y)$ can be viewed as a half-density on $T_{(x,y)}(\Gamma \times_h \Gamma')$ and $t_h(\rho(x) \otimes \Psi(y))$ is a half-density on $T_{(x,z)}(\Gamma *_h \Gamma')$. Since $\Omega_L^{1/2}(x) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}T_z\Gamma' \simeq \Omega^{1/2}T_{(x,z)}(\Gamma *_h \Gamma')$ this half-density can be written as $\tilde{\lambda}_x \otimes \Psi_x(z)$ for some $\tilde{\lambda}_x$ – a half-density on $T_x^l(\Gamma)$

and $\Psi_x(z)$ – a half-density on $T_z(\Gamma')$. Then $\lambda(x)\tilde{\lambda}_x \otimes \Psi_x(z)$ is a 1-density on $T_x^l\Gamma$ with values in half-densities on $T_z(\Gamma')$. Integrating $\lambda(x)\tilde{\lambda}_x \otimes \Psi_x(z)$ we get a half-density on $T_z(\Gamma')$. In this way we get an operator $\pi_h(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ defined on a dense linear subspace of $L^2(\Gamma')$

$$(\pi_h(\omega) \Psi)(z) := \int_{F_l(f_h(b))} [\lambda(x)\tilde{\lambda}(x)] \otimes \Psi_x(z).$$

Let us choose ω_0 and write $\omega = f \omega_0$. Since e'_R is a surjective submersion, there is a natural isomorphism: $\Omega^{1/2}T_w\Gamma' \simeq \Omega_R^{1/2}(w) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}T_{e'_R(w)}E'$ for any $w \in \Gamma'$. Therefore, if we choose ρ'_0 and ν_0 – a non-vanishing, real half-density on E' , then $\rho'_0 \otimes \nu_0$ defines a non-vanishing, real, half-density on Γ' . So any other smooth half-density with compact support Ψ can be written as $\Psi = \psi \rho'_0 \otimes \nu_0 =: \psi \Psi_0$ for some smooth, complex-valued function ψ with compact support. It is easy to see that: $t_h(\rho_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(y) \otimes \nu_0(a)) = t_h(x, y)(\lambda_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(z) \otimes \nu_0(a))$, where t_h is as in the definition of $\hat{h}$. So the explicit formula is

$$(\pi_h(\omega)\Psi)(z) = \left[\int_{F_l(f_h(b))} \lambda_0^2(x) f(x) t_h(x, y) \psi(y) \right] \Psi_0(z), \quad (4)$$

where $b := e'_L(z)$, $t_h(x, y) = (x, z)$. In the next proposition we collect essential properties of the mappings $\hat{h}$ and π_h .

Proposition 1.5 [10] *Let $\Gamma, \Gamma', \Gamma''$ be differential groupoids, $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ and $k : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma''$ differential groupoid morphisms. For any $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma), \omega', \omega'_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma'), \omega'' \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma'')$:*

- a) $\hat{k}((\hat{h}(\omega)\omega'))\omega'' = \hat{k}h(\omega)(\hat{k}(\omega')\omega'')$
- b) $\pi_k(\hat{h}(\omega)\omega') = \pi_{kh}(\omega)\pi_k(\omega')$
- c) $(\omega')^*(\hat{h}(\omega)\omega'_1) = (\hat{h}(\omega^*)\omega')^*\omega'_1$
- d) π_h is a $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ by bounded operators on $L^2(\Gamma')$, moreover for any ω_0 we have the estimate: $\|\pi_h(\omega)\| \leq \|\omega\|_0$ (on the right hand side is used the norm defined in eq. 3).
- e) Let us choose some $*$ -invariant ω'_0 and let $\|\cdot\|'_0$ denote the associated norm. For any $\omega' \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$ there exists a sequence $\omega_n \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\hat{h}(\omega_n)\omega' - \omega'\|'_0 = 0$.

■

Due to these properties one can define a C^* -norm on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$, then complete $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ in this norm and get a kind of universal C^* -algebra of a differential groupoid. For any morphism h , a mapping $\hat{h}$ can be uniquely extended to a morphism between corresponding C^* -algebras and π_h to a non-degenerate representation [10].

It is also easy to see that the representation π_{id} is faithful on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$, so the function $\omega \mapsto \|\pi_{id}(\omega)\|$ defines a C^* -norm on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$. The completion of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ in this norm is called the *reduced C^* -algebra* of Γ and will be denoted by $C_r^*(\Gamma)$.

Bisections and their action on groupoid algebras.

Recall that a submanifold $B \subset \Gamma$ is a *bisection* iff $e_L|_B$ and $e_R|_B$ are diffeomorphisms. A set of bisections of a groupoid Γ is a group under a natural multiplication of subsets of Γ and inverse given by $B^{-1} := s(B)$. Bisections act (from the left) on Γ by diffeomorphisms: for $g \in \Gamma$ $Bg = g'g$, where g' is a unique point in B composable with g . One can immediately verify that $BF_r(g) = F_r(g)$ and $BF_l(g) = F_l(Bg)$. These facts enable us to define an action of bisections on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ according to the formula

$$(Bg)(Bg)(Bv \otimes Bw) := \omega(g)(v \otimes w), \text{ where } v \in \Lambda^{max}T_g^l\Gamma, w \in \Lambda^{max}T_g^r\Gamma.$$

It is easy to see that for $\omega_0 = \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$ and $B\omega_0 =: f\omega_0$, the function f is given by

$$f(Bg)\rho_0(Bg)(Bw) = \rho_0(g)(w), w \in \Lambda^{max}T_g^r\Gamma. \quad (5)$$

It turns out that if B is a bisection of Γ and $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a morphism, then the set $h(B)$ is a bisection of Γ' . In the next lemma we collect basic properties of action of morphisms on bisections which allow us to interpret bisections as multipliers on $C^*(\Gamma)$ and $C_r^*(\Gamma)$.

Proposition 1.6 [10] *Let Γ, Γ' be differential groupoids, B a bisection of Γ and $h : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ a morphism. For any $\omega, \omega_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ and $\omega' \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$:*

1. $\omega^*(B\omega_1) = (s(B)\omega)^*\omega_1$
2. $(\hat{h}(B\omega))\omega' = h(B)(\hat{h}(\omega)\omega')$
3. $\pi_h(B\omega) = h(B)\pi_h(\omega)$.

■

2 C^* -algebra of Cartesian product of groupoids.

In this section we collect some technical results about a C^* -algebra of the Cartesian product of differential groupoids. We are not able to prove that $C^*(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ is equal to (some kind of) $C^*(\Gamma_1) \otimes C^*(\Gamma_2)$. However, we have the following (expected) result.

Proposition 2.1 *Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be differential groupoids. Then $C_r^*(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) = C_r^*(\Gamma_1) \otimes_\sigma C_r^*(\Gamma_2)$, where $\otimes_\sigma$ denotes the minimal tensor product.*

Proof: We adopt the following notation: $\mathcal{A}_i := \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_i)$, $H_i := L^2(\Gamma_i)$, $\pi_i : \mathcal{A}_i \rightarrow B(H_i)$ – the identity representation of $\mathcal{A}_i$, $\|\cdot\|_i$ – an operator norm on $B(H_i)$, $A_i := C_r^*(\Gamma_i) = \overline{\pi_i(\mathcal{A}_i)}^{\|\cdot\|_i}$, $i = 1, 2$ and the corresponding objects for $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$: $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$, $H := L^2(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) = H_1 \otimes H_2$, $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(H)$, $\|\cdot\|$ – operator norm on $B(H)$ and $A := C_r^*(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2) = \overline{\pi(\mathcal{A})}^{\|\cdot\|}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\pi|_{\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2} = \pi_1 \otimes \pi_2$.

Let us choose λ_0 and $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ – non-vanishing, real, left-invariant half-densities along left fibers on Γ_1 and Γ_2 respectively. Then $\lambda_0 \otimes \tilde{\lambda}_0$ is a non-vanishing, real, left-invariant half-density along left fibers on $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$, and we have the corresponding right-invariant half-densities and $*$ -invariant bidensities: $\omega_0^1 = \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$, $\omega_0^2 = \tilde{\lambda}_0 \otimes \tilde{\rho}_0$ and $\omega_0 = \omega_0^1 \otimes \omega_0^2$. Let $\|\cdot\|_0$ be the corresponding norm on $\mathcal{A}$. We begin by the following

Lemma 2.2 $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$ in the topology defined by $\|\cdot\|_0$.

Proof: Let $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ denotes compactly supported, smooth functions. The following result is standard. For any $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ there exist compact sets $K_1 \subset \Gamma_1$, $K_2 \subset \Gamma_2$ such that $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists g \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2) : \text{supp}(g) \subset K_1 \times K_2$ and $\sup |f - g| < \epsilon$.

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$, then $\omega = f\omega_0$ for some $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$. Let K_1, K_2 be subsets as above and choose some $h \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$, such that $h(x) \geq 0$, $h = 1$ on $K_1 \times K_2$ and let $M := \|h\omega_0\|_0$. Take $\epsilon > 0$ and let $g = \sum f_i \otimes k_i \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_1) \otimes \mathcal{D}(\Gamma_2)$ be such that $\sup |f - g| < \epsilon/M$. Define $\omega_i^1 := f_i\omega_0^1$, $\omega_i^2 := k_i\omega_0^2$. Then simple computations show that $\|\omega - \sum \omega_i^1 \otimes \omega_i^2\|_0 \leq \epsilon$.

■

Since for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}$ there is an inequality $\|\omega\| \leq \|\omega\|_0$, we get that the closure of $\pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2)$ contains the closure of $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ i.e. $A \subset \overline{\pi(\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2)}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\pi_1(\mathcal{A}_1) \otimes \pi_2(\mathcal{A}_2)}^{\|\cdot\|}$. This means that $A \subset A_1 \otimes_\sigma A_2$. On the other hand for $A_1 \ni a_1 = \lim \omega_n$, $\omega_n \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A_2 \ni a_2 = \lim \omega'_n$, $\omega'_n \in \mathcal{A}_2$ we immediately verify that $\pi_1(a_1) \otimes \pi_2(a_2) = \lim \pi_1(\omega_n) \otimes \pi_2(\omega'_n) = \lim \pi(\omega_n \otimes \omega'_n)$. In this way $\pi_1(A_1) \otimes \pi_2(A_2) \subset \overline{\pi(\mathcal{A})} = C_r^*(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$ and $A_1 \otimes_\sigma A_2 = \overline{\pi_1(A_1) \otimes \pi_2(A_2)} \subset C_r^*(\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2)$.

■

From now on, if A and B are C^* -algebras, a tensor product $A \otimes B$ means the *minimal tensor product*. In the next lemma $\omega(\omega_1 \otimes I)$ and $\omega(I \otimes \omega_1)$ mean product in $M(C_r^*(\Gamma \times \Gamma))$ (i.e. multiplier algebra).

Lemma 2.3 Let $\omega = F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0) \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ and $\omega_1 = f\omega_0 \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$. Then $\omega(\omega_1 \otimes I)$ and $\omega(I \otimes \omega_1)$ are elements of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$, which are given by the formulae

$$\omega(\omega_1 \otimes I) =: (F * (f \otimes I))(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0), \quad \omega(I \otimes \omega_1) =: (F * (I \otimes f))(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)$$

$$(F * (f \otimes I))(g_1, g_2) := \int_{F_l(g_1)} \lambda_0^2(g) F(g, g_2) f(s(g)g_1),$$

$$(F * (I \otimes f))(g_1, g_2) := \int_{F_l(g_2)} \lambda_0^2(g) F(g_1, g) f(s(g)g_2).$$

Proof: To verify these equations it is enough to show that: $(F * (f \otimes I)) * (f_1 \otimes f_2) = F * (f * f_1 \otimes f_2)$ and $(F * (I \otimes f)) * (f_1 \otimes f_2) = F * (f_1 \otimes f * f_2)$. Let us compute the LHS of the first equality:

$$\begin{aligned} (F * (f \otimes I)) * (f_1 \otimes f_2)(g_1, g_2) &= \int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) (F * (f \otimes I))(g, h) f_1(s(g)g_1) f_2(s(h)g_2) = \\ &= \int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) f_1(s(g)g_1) f_2(s(h)g_2) \int_{F_l(g)} \lambda_0^2(k) F(k, h) f(s(k)g). \end{aligned}$$

Since $F_l(g) = F_l(g_1)$ the above integral is equal to

$$\int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(k) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) f_1(s(g)g_1) f_2(s(h)g_2) F(k, h) f(s(k)g).$$

And the RHS:

$$\begin{aligned} F * (f * f_1 \otimes f_2)(g_1, g_2) &= \int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) F(g, h) (f * f_1)(s(g)g_1) f_2(s(h)g_2) = \\ &= \int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) F(g, h) f_2(s(h)g_2) \int_{F_r(g_1)} \rho_0^2(k) f(s(g)g_1 s(k)) f_1(k) = \\ &= \int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) F(g, h) f_2(s(h)g_2) \int_{F_l(e_R(g_1))} \lambda_0^2(k) f(s(g)g_1 \cdot k) f_1(s(k)) = \\ &= \int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) F(g, h) f_2(s(h)g_2) \int_{F_l(g_1)} \lambda_0^2(k) f(s(g)k) f_1(s(k)g_1) = \\ &= \int_{F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_1) \times F_l(g_2)} (\lambda_0^2(k) \otimes \lambda_0^2(g) \otimes \lambda_0^2(h)) f_1(s(k)g_1) f_2(s(h)g_2) F(g, h) f(s(g)k); \end{aligned}$$

the passage from the second to the third line follows from the fact that s restricted to $F_r(g_1)$ is a diffeomorphism onto $F_l(e_R(g_1))$ and $s(\rho_0) = \lambda_0$; the equality of the third and the fourth line is implied by diffeomorphism $F_l(e_R(g_1)) \ni k \mapsto g_1 k \in F_l(g_1)$.

The equality $(F * (I \otimes f)) * (f_1 \otimes f_2) = F * (f_1 \otimes f * f_2)$ can be proved in the same way. ■

Later on we will need the following technical result

Lemma 2.4 Let $\Phi : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$ be a diffeomorphism, and $\psi : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow R$ be a smooth, non-vanishing function. Let λ_0 be a left-invariant, real, non-vanishing half-density and ω_0 corresponding $*$ -invariant bidensity. Then $\lambda_0 \otimes \lambda_0$ is a left-invariant, real, non-vanishing half-density on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ and $\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0$ is the corresponding $*$ -invariant bidensity. Let $\|\cdot\|_l, \|\cdot\|_r, \|\cdot\|_0$ be the norms on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ associated with $\lambda_0 \otimes \lambda_0$ as defined in eq. 3. Define $S : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ by a formula:

$$S(\sum \omega_i \otimes \omega'_i) := \psi \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes f'_i)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0),$$

where $\omega_i =: f_i \omega_0$, $\omega'_i =: f'_i \omega_0$ and $(\Phi g)(x) := g(\Phi^{-1}(x))$ is a push-forward of a function g . Then $S(\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\Gamma))$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ in the topology defined by $\|\cdot\|_0$.

Proof: Let $\omega =: F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0) \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ and $K := \text{supp } F$. Define $\tilde{F} := F/\psi$ and $F_1 := \Phi^{-1}\tilde{F}$. Then $\text{supp } F_1 = \Phi^{-1}(K)$ is a compact set. There exist compact sets K_1, K_2 such that $\Phi^{-1}(K) \subset K_1 \times K_2$ and

$$\forall \epsilon \exists f_i, g_i : \text{supp } f_i \subset K_1, \text{supp } g_i \subset K_2, \sup |F_1 - \sum f_i \otimes g_i| \leq \epsilon$$

Then $\text{supp } \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i) \subset \Phi(K_1 \times K_2)$. Choose a smooth function $h \in \mathcal{D}(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$ such that $0 \leq h \leq 1$ and $h = 1$ on $K \cup \Phi(K_1 \times K_2)$. Let $M := \|h\|_0$ and $N := \sup\{|\psi(g_1, g_2)| : (g_1, g_2) \in K \cup \Phi(K_1 \times K_2)\}$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and let f_i, g_i be as above, and $\sup |F_1 - \sum f_i \otimes g_i| \leq \epsilon/MN$. Now for $\tilde{\omega} := \sum f_i \omega_0 \otimes g_i \omega_0$ we have:

$$\|\omega - S(\tilde{\omega})\|_l = \sup_{(e_1, e_2) \in E \times E} \int_{F_l(e_1, e_2)} \lambda_0^2(g_1) \otimes \lambda_0^2(g_2) |F(g_1, g_2) - \psi(g_1, g_2) \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)(g_1, g_2)|.$$

We can estimate the integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F_l(e_1, e_2)} \lambda_0^2(g_1) \otimes \lambda_0^2(g_2) |F(g_1, g_2) - \psi(g_1, g_2) \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)(g_1, g_2)| = \\ &= \int_{F_l(e_1, e_2)} \lambda_0^2(g_1) \otimes \lambda_0^2(g_2) |h(g_1, g_2)| |F(g_1, g_2) - \psi(g_1, g_2) \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)(g_1, g_2)| = \\ &= \int_{F_l(e_1, e_2)} \lambda_0^2(g_1) \otimes \lambda_0^2(g_2) |h(g_1, g_2)| |\psi(g_1, g_2)| |\tilde{F}(g_1, g_2) - \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)(g_1, g_2)| \leq \\ &\leq N \sup |\tilde{F} - \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)| \int_{F_l(e_1, e_2)} \lambda_0^2(g_1) \otimes \lambda_0^2(g_2) |h(g_1, g_2)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sup |\tilde{F} - \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)| = \sup |(\Phi F_1) - \Phi(\sum f_i \otimes g_i)| = \sup |F_1 - \sum f_i \otimes g_i| \leq \epsilon/MN.$$

we get an inequality: $\|\omega - S(\tilde{\omega})\|_l \leq \epsilon$. In the same way we can estimate $\|\omega - S(\tilde{\omega})\|_r$. ■

3 Double Lie Groups and related objects.

In this section we introduce basic objects of our investigations – groupoids related to a Double Lie Group (DLG). We begin by recalling the definition of a DLG (also known as a matched pair of Lie groups or a bicrossproduct Lie group).

Definition 3.1 [6] *A double Lie group* is a triple of Lie groups $(G; A, B)$ such that A, B are closed subgroups of G , $A \cap B = \{e\}$ and $G = AB$.

The structure of a DLG defines four projections:

$$a_L, a_R : G \longrightarrow A, \quad b_L, b_R : G \longrightarrow B \text{ by } : g = a_L(g)b_R(g) = b_L(g)a_R(g).$$

We also define a relation $m_A : G \times G \longrightarrow G$ by:

$$Gr(m_A) := \{(b_1 a b_2; b_1 a, a b_2) : a \in A, b_1, b_2 \in B\},$$

and a diffeomorphism $s_A : G \ni g \mapsto a_R(g)b_R(g)^{-1} = b_L(g)^{-1}a_L(g) \in G$.

It turns out [9] that $G_A := (G, m_A, A, s_A)$ is a differential groupoid. The same is true for $G_B := (G, m_B, B, s_B)$, where m_B, s_B are defined similarly to m_A, s_A . Moreover $\delta := m_B^T : G_A \longrightarrow G_A \times G_A$ and $s_B : G_A \longrightarrow G_A$ are morphisms of differential groupoids. In the “extreme case” $A = \{e\}, B = G$ we have $G_A = G$ (the groupoid G_A is a group) and $G_B = (G, \text{diag}^T, G, \text{id})$, where $\text{diag} : G \ni g \longrightarrow (g, g) \in G \times G$ is the diagonal mapping. The basic example of a DLG, the reader may think of, is an Iwasawa decomposition of a semi-simple Lie group $G = K(AN)$.

In the next lemma we explicitly describe mappings and sets related to the morphism δ . We use the same notation as in prop. 1.3. The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $(G; A, B)$ be a double Lie group and let $\delta := m_B^T$. Then:*

1. $Gr(\delta) = \{(a_2 b_2, b_2 a_3; a_2 b_2 a_3) : a_2, a_3 \in A, b_2 \in B\};$
2. $f_\delta : A \times A \ni (a_1, a_2) \mapsto a_1 a_2 \in A;$
3. $G_A \times_\delta (G_A \times G_A) = \{(\tilde{b}_1 a_2 a_3; a_2 b_2, a_3 b_3) : \tilde{b}_1, b_2, b_3 \in B, a_2, a_3 \in A\};$
4. $G_A \times_\delta F_r(\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3) = \{(\tilde{b}_1 a_L(\tilde{b}_2 \tilde{a}_2) a_L(\tilde{b}_3 \tilde{a}_3); \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{b}_3 \tilde{a}_2) : \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, \tilde{b}_3 \in B\};$
5. $G_A *_\delta (G_A \times G_A) = \{(a_2 a_3 b_1; a_2 b_2, a_3 b_3) : b_1, b_2, b_3 \in B, a_2, a_3 \in A\};$
6. $G_A *_\delta F_r(\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3) = \{(a_L(\tilde{b}_2 \tilde{a}_2) a_L(\tilde{b}_3 \tilde{a}_3) b_1; \tilde{b}_2 \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{b}_3 \tilde{a}_2) : b_1, \tilde{b}_2, \tilde{b}_3 \in B\};$
7. $\delta^L(g_1; a_2, a_3) = (a_2 b_L(a_3 b_R(g_1)), a_3 b_R(g_1)) , a_L(g_1) = a_2 a_3;$
8. $\delta^R(g_1; a_2, a_3) = (b_L(g_1) a_2, b_R(b_L(g_1) a_2) a_3) , a_R(g_1) = a_2 a_3;$
9. $m_\delta(g_1; g_2, g_3) = (b_L(g_1) g_2, b_R(b_L(g_1) a_L(g_2)) g_3);$
10. $t_\delta(g_1; g_2, g_3) = (g_1; b_L(g_1) g_2, b_R(b_L(g_1) a_L(g_2)) g_3).$

■

For any DLG one can define a pentagonal diffeomorphism $W : G \times G \longrightarrow G \times G$:

$$W(s, t) := (sa_L(t)^{-1}, b_R(sa_L(t)^{-1}t)) , \quad W^{-1}(s, t) = (sa_L(b_R(s)^{-1}t), b_R(s)^{-1}t). \quad (6)$$

By a push-forward of half-densities, W defines a multiplicative unitary operator on $L^2(G) \otimes L^2(G)$, which will also be denoted by W . Then W^* is a push-forward by W^{-1} .

Now we give an interpretation of W in terms of groupoids G_A and G_B . We need this to prove easily that $W \in M(CB(L^2(G)) \otimes C_r^*(G_A))$ ($CB(H)$ stands for compact operators). However, this interpretation can be also used to show that W is a unitary bicharacter on quantum groups with some universal properties and to construct a quantum double. For a groupoid $\Gamma = (\Gamma, m, E, s)$ we denote by Γ^{op} the groupoid with the reverse multiplication i.e. (Γ, m^{op}, E, s) . As it was shown in [13], the pentagonal diffeomorphism W is equal to $(id \times m_A)(m_B^T \times id)$ and the pentagon equation follows from the fact that m_B^T is a morphism $G_A \longrightarrow G_A \times G_A$. (Now it is clear that there are four multiplicative operators, since one can interchange A and B ; one can also consider G_A^{op} and G_B^{op} .) But W is not only a diffeomorphism but a diffeomorphism of a very special kind, namely, it is implemented by a bisection. Consider the set $U := \{(g, s_B(g)) : g \in G\}$. This is clearly a submanifold in $G \times G$. The following lemma is a result of direct computations.

Lemma 3.3 *U is a bisection of $G_B^{op} \times G_A$, moreover $U \cdot (s, t) = W(s, t)$. (the left hand side of this equality is understood as an action of a bisection on a groupoid element).*

■

Because a bisection of a differential groupoid Γ is an element of $M(C_r^*(\Gamma))$ we conclude that $W \in M(C_r^*(G_B^{op} \times G_A)) = M(C_r^*(G_B^{op}) \otimes C_r^*(G_A))$. The algebra $C_r^*(G_B^{op})$ acts on $L^2(G_B^{op}) = L^2(G)$ in a non-degenerate way, therefore $W \in M(CB(L^2(G)) \otimes C_r^*(G_A))$.

In what follows we will also need various modular functions related to DLG, so now we fix notation:

$$\psi_A(a) = \det(P_A Ad(a) P_A) , \quad \psi_B(a) = \det(P_B Ad(a) P_B) , \quad a \in A; \quad (7)$$

$$\varphi_A(b) = \det(P_A Ad(b) P_A) , \quad \varphi_B(b) = \det(P_B Ad(b) P_B) , \quad b \in B, \quad (8)$$

where P_B and P_A denote projections in $\mathfrak{g}$ corresponding to the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$.

The last object we define is a smooth function $Q : G \longrightarrow R \setminus \{0\}$.

Let $Ad(g) =: \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & g_2 \\ g_3 & g_4 \end{pmatrix}$ be a decomposition of operators of the adjoint representation with respect to the direct sum structure $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$ i.e. $g_1 : \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, $g_2 : \mathfrak{b} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{a}$, etc. Then Q is defined by

$$G \ni g \mapsto Q(g) := \frac{\det(Ad(g))}{\det(g_1) \det(g_4)} \in R \setminus \{0\}. \quad (9)$$

For $b \in B, a \in A$ we have: $Ad(a) =: \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & \alpha_4 \end{pmatrix}$, $Ad(b) =: \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 \\ \beta_3 & \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$, and

$$Q(ba) = \frac{\det(\beta_4 \alpha_4)}{\det(\beta_3 \alpha_2 + \beta_4 \alpha_4)}.$$

The function Q is related to the modular functions by equalities:

$$Q(g) = \frac{\psi_A(a_L(g))\varphi_A(b_R(g))}{\psi_A(a_R(g))\varphi_A(b_L(g))} = \frac{\psi_B(a_R(g))\varphi_B(b_L(g))}{\psi_B(a_L(g))\varphi_B(b_R(g))}. \quad (10)$$

The relationship of the function Q with groupoids G_A and G_B is described in the following lemma, the proof of which is straightforward.

Lemma 3.4 *Q is a one cocycle on G_A and G_B , i.e. $Q(ab)Q(ba') = Q(aba')$, $Q(ba)Q(ab') = Q(bab')$ for any $a, a' \in A$, $b, b' \in B$. Moreover, Q is invariant with respect to the group inverse i.e. $Q(g) = Q(g^{-1})$.* ■

The function Q is exactly the one which appears in the definition of manageability of W [8, 7]. For the geometric meaning of Q see Appendix.

Multiplication in $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

Let us choose some $\mu_0 \neq 0$ – a real half-density on $T_e B$ and define

$$\lambda_0(g)(v) := \mu_0(g^{-1}v), \quad v \in \Lambda^{max} T_g^l G_A. \quad (11)$$

It is easy to see that this is a left-invariant, non-vanishing, half-density on G_A and the corresponding right-invariant half-density is given by

$$\rho_0(g)(w) = |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{-1/2} \mu_0(wg^{-1}), \quad w \in \Lambda^{max} T_g^r G_A \quad (12)$$

We put $\omega_0 = \lambda_0 \otimes \rho_0$, and from the formula (2) we obtain the expression for multiplication in $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

$$((f_1 \omega_0)(f_2 \omega_0))(g) =: (f_1 * f_2)(g) \omega_0(g)$$

$$(f_1 * f_2)(g) = \int_B \mu_L^2(b) f_1(a_L(g)b) f_2(b_L(a_L(g)b)^{-1}g) = \quad (13)$$

$$= \int_B \mu_R^2(b) |\psi_B(a_L(ba_R(g)))|^{-1} f_1(gb_R(ba_R(g))^{-1}) f_2(ba_R(g)), \quad (14)$$

where μ_L and μ_R are left and right-invariant half-densities on B defined by μ_0 (we use the fact that left and right fibers are diffeomorphic to B).

We finish this section with a simple observation, which will be used later on.

Lemma 3.5 *For $g_0 \in G$ the sets $\{g \in G : (g_0, g) \in \delta(G)\} = b_R(g_0)A$ and $\{g \in G : (g, g_0) \in \delta(G)\} = Ab_L(g_0)$ are bisections of G_A .* ■

4 Comultiplication

This section is entirely devoted to a proof that indeed $\hat{\delta}$ can be used to obtain a comultiplication in the sense of the theory of quantum groups.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $(G; A, B)$ be a double Lie group, $G_A = (G, m_A, s_A, A)$, $G_B = (G, m_B, s_B, B)$ corresponding differential groupoids and let $\delta := m_B^T$. Then*

- a) *The mapping $\hat{\delta}$ extends uniquely to $\Delta \in \text{Mor}(C_r^*(G_A), C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A))$;*
- b) *Δ is coassociative, i.e. $(\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta$;*
- c) *For any $a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)$, elements $\Delta(a)(b \otimes I)$ and $\Delta(a)(I \otimes b)$ belong $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$. Moreover, the linear spaces $\text{span}\{\Delta(a)(b \otimes I) : a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)\}$ and $\text{span}\{\Delta(a)(I \otimes b) : a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)\}$ are dense in $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$.*

In general we know [10] that $\hat{\delta}$ extends to a morphism from $C^*(G_A)$ to $C^*(G_A \times G_A)$ and it is not a priori clear that it defines also a morphism between reduced algebras. However, it is easy to see that, for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$, $\hat{\delta}(\omega)$ defines a multiplier on $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A)$.

Indeed, let π_{id} denotes the identity representation of $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ on $L^2(G_A \times G_A)$. Using the prop. 1.5 we have the inequality $\|\pi_{\text{id}}(\hat{\delta}(\omega)\omega_1)\| = \|\pi_{\delta}(\omega)\pi_{\text{id}}(\omega_1)\| \leq \|\pi_{\delta}(\omega)\| \|\pi_{\text{id}}(\omega_1)\|$. From this inequality we infer that $\hat{\delta}(\omega)$ is a bounded, linear mapping, defined on a dense, linear subspace of $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A)$. Therefore, it can be extended in a unique way to the whole $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A)$. Moreover, since $\omega_2^*(\hat{\delta}(\omega)\omega_1) = (\hat{\delta}(\omega^*)\omega_2)^*\omega_1$, we see that $\hat{\delta}(\omega)$ is adjointable. Thanks to this property, $\hat{\delta}(\omega)$ defines a multiplier.

Let us choose some $\omega'_0 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ and let $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$. Take $\omega_n \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ as in item e) of the prop.1.5. We have an estimate: $\|\pi_{\text{id}}(\hat{\delta}(\omega_n)\omega) - \pi_{\text{id}}(\omega)\| \leq \|\hat{\delta}(\omega_n)\omega - \omega\|'_0$ and, since this tends to 0, we see that any element of $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ can be approximated in the norm defined by identity representation by elements from $\hat{\delta}(\mathcal{A}(G_A))\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$. So the same is true for the whole $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A)$.

In this way to prove statement a) of the theorem we need continuity of $\hat{\delta}$ as a mapping defined on a dense subspace $\mathcal{A}(G_A) \subset C_r^*(G_A)$. This will immediately follow from the following.

Proposition 4.2 *Let W be the pentagonal diffeomorphism defined in (6). Representation π_{δ} is implemented by W i.e.*

$$\pi_{\delta}(\omega) = W(\pi_{\text{id}}(\omega) \otimes I)W^*$$

Proof: Of course this result should be expected taking into account the close relationship between the algebra defined by W in a “standard way” and $C_r^*(G_A)$ (see Appendix B). We start by giving a formula for $\hat{\delta}$. For $\omega =: f\omega_0 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ and $\omega_1 =: F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0) \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$, by (1) and lemma 3.2 we have $\hat{\delta}(\omega)\omega_1 = (f *_{\delta} F)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (f *_{\delta} F)(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) &= \int_{F_1(a_1a_2)} \lambda_0^2(a_1a_2b)f(a_1a_2b)t_{\delta}(a_1a_2b; b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2) \times \\ &\quad \times F(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2) \end{aligned}$$

As it was proved in [10], for any morphism h of differential groupoids, the function t_h is right-invariant with respect to multiplication in the second groupoid i.e. $t_h(x, y) = t_h(x, e_L(y))$. In the next lemma we prove a formula for this function.

Lemma 4.3 $t_{\delta}(b_1a_2a_3; a_2, a_3) = |\varphi_B(b_R(b_1a_2))|^{-1/2}$.

Proof: We use notation and results given in prop 1.3 and lemma 3.2. Let $x := b_1a_2a_3$, $y := (a_2, a_3)$ and $z := m_{\delta}(x, y) = (b_1a_2, b_R(b_1a_2)a_3)$. Let $W := T_{(x,y)}(G_A \times_{\delta} F_r(y))$ and $U := \ker \pi_2 \subset W$. Vectors

from U are represented by curves $u(t) := (b_1(t)a_2a_3; a_2, a_3)$, $b_1(0) = b_1$. It is easy to see that a subspace $V \subset W$ of vectors represented by curves

$$v(t) := (b_1a_L(b_2(t)a_2)a_L(b_3(t)a_3); b_2(t)a_2, b_3(t)a_3), \quad b_2(0) = b_3(0) = e$$

is complementary to U . So the isomorphism $i_1 : \Omega_R^{1/2}(x) \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}(y) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1/2}W$ is given by

$$i_1(\rho_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(y))(u \wedge v) := \rho_0(x)(\pi_1 u)\rho'_0(y)(\pi_2 v), \quad u \in \Lambda^{max}U, v \in \Lambda^{max}V. \quad (15)$$

Let $\tilde{W} := T_{(x,z)}(G_A *_\delta F_r(y))$. Then $\tilde{U} := \ker \tilde{\pi}_2 \subset \tilde{W}$ is a subspace of vectors represented by curves $\tilde{u}(t) := (b_1a_2a_3b_1(t); b_1a_2, b_R(b_1a_2)a_3)$, $b_1(0) = e$. Choosing some $\tilde{V} \subset \tilde{W}$ complementary to $\tilde{U}$ we can write isomorphism $i_2 : \Omega_L^{1/2}(x) \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}(z) \longrightarrow \Omega^{1/2}\tilde{W}$ as

$$i_2(\lambda_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(z))(\tilde{u} \wedge \tilde{v}) := \lambda_0(x)(\tilde{\pi}_1 \tilde{u})\rho'_0(z)(\tilde{\pi}_2 \tilde{v}), \quad \tilde{u} \in \Lambda^{max}\tilde{U}, \tilde{v} \in \Lambda^{max}\tilde{V}. \quad (16)$$

The function t_δ is defined by $\mathfrak{t}_\delta i_1(\rho_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(y)) =: t_\delta(x, y)i_2(\lambda_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(z))$, i.e.

$$i_1(\rho_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(y))(u \wedge v) = t_\delta(x, y)i_2(\lambda_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(z))(\mathfrak{t}_\delta(u) \wedge \mathfrak{t}_\delta(v)). \quad (17)$$

Since the subspace $\mathfrak{t}_\delta(V)$ is represented by curves

$$t \mapsto (b_1a_L(b_2(t)a_2)a_L(b_3(t)a_3); b_1b_2(t)a_2, b_R(b_1a_L(b_2(t)a_2))b_3(t)a_3),$$

one immediately sees that it is complementary to $\tilde{U}$. So to compute t_δ we need a decomposition of $\mathfrak{t}_\delta(u)$ with respect to the direct sum $\tilde{W} = \tilde{U} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\delta(V)$. This is given by curves $\tilde{u}(t), v(t)$ such that $\mathfrak{t}_\delta u(t) = (\mathfrak{t}_d v(t))(x^{-1}, z^{-1})\tilde{u}(t)$ (group multiplication in $G \times G \times G$). Using our parametrization we see that for a given curve $b_1(t)$, $b_1(0) = b_1$ we have to find curves $\tilde{b}_1(t)$, $\tilde{b}_1(0) = e$ and $b_2(t), b_3(t)$, $b_2(0) = b_3(0) = e$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & (b_1(t)a_2a_3; b_1(t)a_2, b_R(b_1(t)a_2)a_3) = \\ &= (b_1a_L(b_2(t)a_2)a_L(b_3(t)a_3); b_1b_2(t)a_2, b_R(b_1a_L(b_2(t)a_2))b_3(t)a_3) \\ & (b_1a_2a_3; b_1a_2, b_R(b_1a_2)a_3)^{-1} (b_1a_2a_3\tilde{b}_1(t); b_1a_2, b_R(b_1a_2)a_3) \end{aligned}$$

The solution of this equation is given by

$$\tilde{b}_1(t) = b_R(b_1^{-1}b_1(t)a_2a_3), \quad b_2(t) = b_1^{-1}b_1(t), \quad b_3(t) = b_R(b_1^{-1}b_1(t)a_2). \quad (18)$$

Now we know enough to perform computations for the function t_δ . Let $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be a basis in $T_e B$ such that $\mu_0(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n) = 1$. Then $(X_1x, \dots, X_nx)$ is a basis in $T_x^r G_A$ and $u_i := (X_i x; 0_{a_2}, 0_{a_3})$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ form a basis in U . We have also corresponding bases in $T_{a_2}^r G_A$ and $T_{a_3}^r G_A$, and $(v_1, \dots, v_n, v'_1, \dots, v'_n)$, where $v_i := (b_1a_L(X_i a_2)a_3; X_i a_2, 0_{a_3})$ and $v'_i := (b_1a_2a_L(X_i a_3); 0_{a_2}, X_i a_3)$, is a basis in V . For $\rho'_0 = \rho_0 \otimes \rho_0$, ρ_0 as in (12), the equation (15) gives

$$i_1(\rho_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(y))(u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v'_n) = |\psi_B(a_L(x))\psi_B(a_2)\psi_B(a_3)|^{-1/2}.$$

Let P be a projection onto $\tilde{U}$ corresponding to the decomposition $\tilde{W} = \tilde{U} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_\delta(V)$. Using (16) we can now rewrite (17) as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_B(a_L(x))\psi_B(a_2)\psi_B(a_3)|^{-1/2} &= t_\delta(x; a_2, a_3)i_2(\lambda_0(x) \otimes \rho'_0(z))(\mathfrak{t}_\delta(u_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathfrak{t}_\delta(u_n) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathfrak{t}_\delta(v'_n)) = \\ &= t_\delta(x; a_2, a_3)\lambda_0(x)(\tilde{\pi}_1 P \mathfrak{t}_\delta u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\pi}_1 P \mathfrak{t}_d u_1)\rho'_0(z)(\tilde{\pi}_2 \mathfrak{t}_\delta v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\pi}_2 \mathfrak{t}_\delta v'_n). \end{aligned}$$

From (18) we get

$$\tilde{\pi}_1 P u_i = x b_R(x^{-1} X_i x), \quad \tilde{\pi}_2 \mathfrak{t}_\delta v_i = (b_1 X_i a_2, b_R(b_1 a_L(X_i a_2))a_3), \quad \tilde{\pi}_2 \mathfrak{t}_\delta v'_i = (0_{b_1 a_2}, b_R(b_1 a_2) X_i a_3),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0(x)(\tilde{\pi}_1 P \mathbf{t}_\delta u_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\pi}_1 P \mathbf{t}_\delta u_1) \rho'_0(z)(\tilde{\pi}_2 \mathbf{t}_\delta v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\pi}_2 \mathbf{t}_\delta v'_n) = \\ = |\psi_B(a_L(b_1 a_2)) \psi_B(a_L(b_R(b_1 a_2) \tilde{a}_3))|^{-1/2} \times \\ \times |\det(P_B \text{Ad}(x^{-1}) P_B)|^{1/2} |\det(P_B \text{Ad}(b_1) P_B)|^{1/2} |\det(P_B \text{Ad}(b_R(b_1 a_2)) P_B)|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

But since $\psi_B(a_1 a_2) = \psi_B(a_1) \psi_B(a_2)$ and $a_L(b_1 a_2) a_L(b_R(b_1 a_2) a_3) = a_L(b_1 a_2 a_3) = a_L(x)$, our equation for t_δ reduces to

$$|\psi_B(a_2 a_3)|^{-1/2} = t_\delta(x; a_2, a_3) |\det(P_B \text{Ad}(x^{-1}) P_B \text{Ad}(b_1) P_B \text{Ad}(b_R(b_1 a_2)) P_B)|^{1/2}.$$

Since $x = b_1 a_2 a_3$ we have

$$P_B \text{Ad}(x^{-1}) P_B \text{Ad}(b_1) P_B \text{Ad}(b_R(b_1 a_2)) P_B = P_B \text{Ad}(a_3^{-1} a_2^{-1}) P_B \text{Ad}(b_R(b_1 a_2)) P_B,$$

and finally we get $t_\delta(b_1 a_2 a_3; a_2, a_3) = |\varphi_B(b_R(b_1 a_2))|^{-1/2}$. ■

In this way we arrive at the formula for $\hat{\delta}$: (we recall that $f *_\delta F$ is defined by $\hat{\delta}(f \omega_0)(F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)) =: (f *_\delta F)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)$)

$$\begin{aligned} (f *_\delta F)(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = \\ = \int_{F_i(a_1 a_2)} \lambda_0^2(a_1 a_2 b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2 b))|^{-1/2} f(a_1 a_2 b) F(b_L^{-1}(a_1 a_2 b) a_1 b_1, a_R(a_2 b) b^{-1} b_2) = \\ = \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2 b))|^{-1/2} f(a_1 a_2 b) F(b_L^{-1}(a_1 a_2 b) a_1 b_1, a_R(a_2 b) b^{-1} b_2). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Now we can prove the main formula of the prop.4.2. Let ρ_0 be the right-invariant half-density defined in (12). Choose ν_0 – a real half-density on $T_e A$ and let ν_l be the corresponding left-invariant half-density on A . Then $\psi_0 := \rho_0 \otimes \nu_l$ is a non-vanishing half-density on G . Define $\Psi_0 := \psi_0 \otimes \psi_0$, then this is a non-vanishing half-density on $G \times G$. The explicit formula for Ψ_0 is

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_0(s, t)(Y s \wedge s X \wedge Y_1 t \wedge t X_1) = \\ = \rho_0(s)(Y s) \rho_0(t)(Y_1 t) \nu_l(a_R(s))(a_R(s) X) \nu_l(a_R(t))(a_R(t) X_1) = \\ = |\psi_B(a_L(s) a_L(t))|^{-1/2} \mu_0(Y) \mu_0(Y_1) \nu_0(X) \nu_0(X_1), \quad Y, Y_1 \in \Lambda^{\max} T_e B, \quad X, X_1 \in \Lambda^{\max} T_e A. \end{aligned}$$

In the next lemma we compute an action of W on Ψ_0 .

Lemma 4.4 *Let $(s, t) \in G \times G$, $(\tilde{s}, \tilde{t}) := W^{-1}(s, t)$, $X, X_1 \in \Lambda^{\max} T_e A$ and $Y, Y_1 \in \Lambda^{\max} T_e B$.*

$$\varphi_B(b_R(s)) \psi_A(a_L(\tilde{t})) W^{-1}(Y s \wedge s X \wedge Y_1 t \wedge t X_1) = (Y \tilde{s} \wedge \tilde{s} X \wedge Y_1 \tilde{t} \wedge \tilde{t} X_1).$$

Proof: For $(s, t) \in G \times G$ let $i_{st} : \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \longrightarrow T_{(s,t)}(G \times G)$ be an isomorphism given by the decomposition $T_{(s,t)}(G \times G) = \mathfrak{b}s \oplus \mathfrak{s}a \oplus \mathfrak{b}t \oplus \mathfrak{t}a$. Then

$$W^{-1}(Y s \wedge s X \wedge Y_1 t \wedge t X_1) = \det(i_{\tilde{s}\tilde{t}}^{-1} T_{(s,t)}(W^{-1}) i_{st})(Y \tilde{s} \wedge \tilde{s} X \wedge Y_1 \tilde{t} \wedge \tilde{t} X_1).$$

Let us denote the composition $i_{\tilde{s}\tilde{t}}^{-1} T_{(s,t)}(W^{-1}) i_{st}$ by F and write F in a block form corresponding to the decomposition $T_{(e,e)}(G \times G) = \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$:

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} F_{11} & F_{12} & F_{13} & F_{14} \\ F_{21} & F_{22} & F_{23} & F_{24} \\ F_{31} & F_{32} & F_{33} & F_{34} \\ F_{41} & F_{42} & F_{43} & F_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the formula for W^{-1} given in eq. (6) we easily obtain:

$$F_{11} = I = F_{44} \quad \text{and} \quad F_{12} = F_{13} = F_{14} = F_{41} = F_{42} = F_{43} = 0,$$

so $\det F = \det \begin{pmatrix} F_{22} & F_{23} \\ F_{32} & F_{33} \end{pmatrix}$, and mappings $F_{22}, F_{23}, F_{32}, F_{33}$ are given by:

$$F_{22} : \mathfrak{a} \ni X \mapsto \text{Ad}(a_L(z)^{-1})X - P_A \text{Ad}(b_R(z)a_L(t)^{-1})P_B \text{Ad}(b_R(s)^{-1})X,$$

$$F_{23} : \mathfrak{b} \ni Y \mapsto P_A \text{Ad}(b_R(z)a_L(t)^{-1})Y,$$

$$F_{32} : \mathfrak{a} \ni X \mapsto -\text{Ad}(b_R(s)^{-1})P_B \text{Ad}(b_R(s)^{-1})X,$$

$$F_{33} : \mathfrak{b} \ni Y \mapsto \text{Ad}(b_R(s)^{-1})Y,$$

where $z := b_R(s)^{-1}a_L(t)$.

Now it remains to compute the determinant and compare it with the definitions of φ_B and ψ_A . ■

Having these results we are ready to prove prop. 4.2. Let a function $w : G \times G \longrightarrow R$ be defined by $W\Psi_0 =: w\Psi_0$ and $W(F)$ by: $W(F\Psi_0) =: W(F)\Psi_0$. Then $W(F)(s, t) = F(W^{-1}(s, t))w(s, t)$. From the preceding lemma we get

$$w(s, t) = |\varphi_B(b_R(s))\psi_A(a_L(\tilde{t}))\psi_B(a_L(\tilde{t}))|^{-1/2} \text{ where } \tilde{t} = b_R(s)^{-1}t.$$

Now let us compute $\pi_\delta(\omega)W$. For $\omega =: f\omega_0$ and $\Psi =: F\Psi_0$ using the formula (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (f *_\delta W(F))(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) &= \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} f(a_1a_2b) W(F)(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2) = \\ &= \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} f(a_1a_2b) w(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1, a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2) \times \\ &\quad \times F(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1a_2b_L(a_2^{-1}b_1), b_1^{-1}a_2b_2) = \\ &= |\psi_A(a_L(b_1^{-1}a_2))\psi_B(a_L(b_1^{-1}a_2))|^{-1/2} \times \\ &\quad \times \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))\varphi_B(b_L^{-1}(a_2b)b_1)|^{-1/2} f(a_1a_2b) F(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1a_2b_L(a_2^{-1}b_1), b_1^{-1}a_2b_2) = \\ &= |\psi_A(a_L(b_1^{-1}a_2))\psi_B(a_L(b_1^{-1}a_2))\varphi_B(b_1)|^{-1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) f(a_1a_2b) F(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1a_2b_L(a_2^{-1}b_1), b_1^{-1}a_2b_2) \end{aligned}$$

On the other, hand using the formula for multiplication (13) and lemma 2.3 we can write

$$\begin{aligned} W((f \otimes I) * F)(a_1b_1a_2b_2) &= w(a_1b_1, a_2b_2)((f \otimes I) * F)(a_1b_1a_L(b_1^{-1}a_2), b_1^{-1}a_2b_2) = \\ &= |\psi_A(a_L(b_1^{-1}a_2))\psi_B(a_L(b_1^{-1}a_2))\varphi_B(b_1)|^{-1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) f(a_1a_2b) F(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1a_2b_L(a_2^{-1}b_1), b_1^{-1}a_2b_2) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both expression we see that $\pi_\delta(\omega)W = W(\pi_{id}(\omega) \otimes I)$. The proposition 4.2 is proved. ■

In this way the proof of the statement a) of the theorem 4.1 is completed i.e. $\hat{\delta}$ can be extended to a morphism Δ from $C_r^*(G_A)$ to $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$.

b) The prop. 4.2 immediately yields coassociativity of Δ by a standard proof based on the pentagonal equation.

c) Density conditions.

Our proof of the density conditions will be the following. First, let us note that, by using standard density arguments, one easily shows that if $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_1 \otimes I) \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ then also for any $a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)$ we have $\Delta(a)(b \otimes I) \in C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$ and the same holds for the second inclusion.

We begin by proposing the explicit formulae for $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_2)$ and $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_1 \otimes I)$ for $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ based on some geometric considerations. These objects will be elements of $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$. Next, we verify that our guess is correct. Finally, we check the density conditions.

Let us first give some heuristic arguments for our formulae. Let us write $\hat{\delta}(\omega) = \sum \omega'_k \otimes \omega''_k$ and formally compute

$$\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)(g_1, g_2) = \sum \omega'_k(g_1)(\omega''_k \omega_1)(g_2) = \left[\left(\sum \omega'_k \otimes \omega''_k \right) (g_1, \cdot) \omega_1 \right] (g_2) = [\hat{\delta}(\omega)(g_1, \cdot) \omega_1](g_2)$$

What kind of object is $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(g_1, \cdot)$? Since $\delta = m_B^T$ then we can expect that $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(g_1, \cdot) = \omega(m_B(g_1, \cdot))$. Now using lemma 3.5, we can interpret $\omega(m_B(g_1, \cdot))$ as a function on a bisection $b_R(g_1)A$ and this can be identified with a multiplier on $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$. Therefore, the natural candidate for $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)$ is $\omega(m_B(g_1, \cdot))\omega_1$. It follows that (almost) the same is true in the differential case.

Remark 4.5 The author knew the formula for $\hat{\delta}$ (19) before the formula for $\hat{h}$ for an arbitrary morphism of differential groupoids given in section 1 was introduced in [10]. The formula for $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)$ was found as below and then the equality $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) = (\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_2))(\omega_1 \otimes I)$ was used.

Let δ^R and δ^L be the mappings associated to the morphism δ described in lemma 3.2:

$$\delta^L(g_1; a_2, a_3) = (a_2 b_L(a_3 b_R(g_1)), a_3 b_R(g_1)), a_L(g_1) = a_2 a_3,$$

$$\delta^R(g_1; a_2, a_3) = (b_L(g_1) a_2, b_R(b_L(g_1) a_2) a_3), a_R(g_1) = a_2 a_3.$$

In the following lemma we denote the tangents to these mappings by the same letters.

Lemma 4.6 For any $(g_1, g_2; g) \in \delta$ the mappings: $\delta^L : T_g^l G_A \longrightarrow T_{(g_1, g_2)}^l (G_A \times G_A) = T_{g_1}^l G_A \oplus T_{g_2}^l G_A$ and $\delta^R : T_g^r G_A \longrightarrow T_{(g_1, g_2)}^r (G_A \times G_A) = T_{g_1}^r G_A \oplus T_{g_2}^r G_A$ are injective.

Moreover, the mappings: $\pi_1 \delta^L : T_g^l G_A \longrightarrow T_{g_1}^l G_A$, $\pi_1 \delta^R : T_g^r G_A \longrightarrow T_{g_1}^r G_A$, $\pi_2 \delta^L : T_g^l G_A \longrightarrow T_{g_2}^l G_A$, $\pi_2 \delta^R : T_g^r G_A \longrightarrow T_{g_2}^r G_A$, where π_1, π_2 are projections from $G_A \times G_A$ to G_A , are isomorphisms.

Proof: Let $g_1 := a_1 b_1 = \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{a}_1$, $g_2 := b_1 \tilde{a}_2 = a_2 b_2$, $g := \delta^T(g_1, g_2) = a_1 b_1 \tilde{a}_2 = \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{a}_2 = a_1 a_2 b_2$. Let $X \in T_g^l G_A$ and $Y \in T_g^r G_A$ be represented by curves $a_1 a_2 b_2(t)$, $b_2(0) = b_2$, $\tilde{b}_1(t) \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{a}_2$, $\tilde{b}_1(0) = \tilde{b}_1$ respectively. Then $\delta^L(X)$ and $\delta^R(Y)$ are represented by $(a_1 b_L(a_2 b_2(t)), a_2 b_2(t))$ and $(\tilde{b}_1(t) \tilde{a}_1, b_R(\tilde{b}_1(t) \tilde{a}_1) \tilde{a}_2)$ and the first statement is clear. Direct computations also prove that $(\pi_1 \delta^L)^{-1}$, $(\pi_2 \delta^L)^{-1}$, $(\pi_1 \delta^R)^{-1}$ and $(\pi_2 \delta^R)^{-1}$ are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi_1 \delta^L)^{-1} : a_1 b_1(t) &\mapsto a_1 a_2 b_L(a_2^{-1} b_1(t)), b_1(0) = b_1; & (\pi_2 \delta^L)^{-1} : a_2 b_2(t) &\mapsto a_1 a_2 b_2(t), b_2(0) = b_2 \\ (\pi_1 \delta^R)^{-1} : \tilde{b}_1(t) \tilde{a}_1 &\mapsto \tilde{b}_1(t) \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{b}_1(0) = \tilde{b}_1; & (\pi_2 \delta^R)^{-1} : \tilde{b}_2(t) \tilde{a}_2 &\mapsto b_R(\tilde{b}_2(t) \tilde{a}_1^{-1}) \tilde{a}_1 \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{b}_2(0) = b_1 \end{aligned}$$

■

Now suppose we are given $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$, $g_1 \in G_A$ and $u_1 := v_1 \otimes w_1 \in \Lambda^{\max} T_{g_1}^l G_A \otimes \Lambda^{\max} T_{g_1}^r G_A$. For each g_2 such that $(g_1, g_2) \in \delta(G_A)$ we can define a number:

$$\delta_1(\omega; g_1, u_1)(g_2) := \omega(\delta^T(g_1, g_2))((\pi_1 \delta^L)^{-1} v_1 \otimes (\pi_1 \delta^R)^{-1} w_1).$$

Since the set of possible g_2 is the bisection $b_R(g_1)A$, in this way we get a function on this bisection. In the same way for each $g_2 \in G_A$ and $u_2 := v_2 \otimes w_2 \in \Lambda^{\max} T_{g_2}^l G_A \otimes \Lambda^{\max} T_{g_2}^r G_A$ we get a function on the bisection $Ab_L(g_2)$ given by

$$\delta_2(\omega; g_2, u_2)(g_1) := \omega(\delta^T(g_1, g_2))((\pi_2 \delta^L)^{-1} v_2 \otimes (\pi_2 \delta^R)^{-1} w_2).$$

Having a bisection U and a function f on it, we can define a natural action of this pair on bidensities by the formula $((fU)\omega)(g) := f(g')(U\omega)(g)$, where g' is the unique point in U such that $a_L(g') = a_L(g)$. Now for $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ we define $K_1(\omega, \omega_1) \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ and $K_2(\omega, \omega_2) \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ by

$$K_1(\omega, \omega_1)(g_1, g_2)(u_1 \otimes u_2) := [\delta_1(\omega, g_1, u_1)(b_R(g_1)A)\omega_1](g_2)(u_2) \quad (20)$$

$$K_2(\omega, \omega_2)(g_1, g_2)(u_1 \otimes u_2) := [\delta_2(\omega, g_2, u_2)(Ab_L(g_2))\omega_2](g_1)(u_1). \quad (21)$$

It is clear that these formulae are bilinear, so in fact $K_1, K_2 : \mathcal{A}(G_A) \otimes \mathcal{A}(G_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$. These mappings are our candidates for $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)$ and $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_1 \otimes I)$. In the next lemma we compute functions δ_1 and δ_2 .

Lemma 4.7 Let $(g_1, g_2) \in \delta^T(G_A)$, u_1, u_2 be as above. Then the functions δ_1 and δ_2 are given by:

$$\delta_1(f\omega_0; g_1, u_1)(g_2) = f(\delta^T(g_1, g_2))|\psi_B(a_L(g_2))|^{-1/2}|\psi_B(a_R(g_2))|^{-1/2}\omega_0(g_1)(u_1).$$

$$\delta_2(f\omega_0; g_2, u_2)(g_1) = f(\delta^T(g_1, g_2))\omega_0(g_2)(u_2).$$

Proof: Let $g_1 = a_1b_1$, $g_2 = b_1\tilde{a}_2$, $g := \delta^T(g_1, g_2) = a_1b_1\tilde{a}_2$ and $u_1 = v_1 \otimes w_1$. Using the definitions of ω_0 and δ_1 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1(\omega; g_1, u_1)(g_2) &:= \omega(g)((\pi_1\delta^L)^{-1}v_1 \otimes (\pi_1\delta^R)^{-1}w_1) = f(g)\omega_0((\pi_1\delta^L)^{-1}v_1 \otimes (\pi_1\delta^R)^{-1}w_1) = \\ &= f(g)\mu_0(g^{-1}(\pi_1\delta^L)^{-1}v_1)|\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{-1/2}\mu_0((\pi_1\delta^R)^{-1}w_1g^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Let us represent elements from $T_{g_1}^l G_A$ by g_1X for $X \in T_e B$ and elements from $T_{g_1}^r G_A$ by Xg_1 for $X \in T_e B$. Then from the lemma 4.6 we see that the mapping $T_e B \ni X \mapsto g^{-1}(\pi_1\delta^L)^{-1}g_1X \in T_e B$ is equal to $P_B Ad(\tilde{a}_2^{-1})$, and the mapping $T_e B \ni X \mapsto (\pi_1\delta^R)^{-1}Xg_1g^{-1} \in T_e B$ is equal to the identity mapping. Since $a_L(g) = a_L(g_1)a_L(g_2)$ the first formula is proved. In the same way the second one can be proved. ■

To find explicit expressions for K_1 and K_2 we also need formulae for actions of bisections bA and Ab on $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

Lemma 4.8 For $b_0 \in B$ actions of bisections b_0A and Ab_0 on ω_0 are given by:

$$((b_0A)\omega_0)(g) = |\varphi_B(b_0)|^{-1/2} \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_L(b_0^{-1}g))}{\psi_B(a_L(g))} \right|^{-1/2} \omega_0(g) \text{ and } ((Ab_0)\omega_0)(g) = |\varphi_B(b_0)|^{-1/2} \omega_0(g).$$

Proof: Let $(b_0A)\omega_0 =: f\omega_0$, $h := (b_0A) \cdot g = b_0g$. Using the equation (5) we get

$$f(h) = \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_L(g))}{\psi_B(a_L(h))} \right|^{-1/2} \frac{\mu_0(w)}{\mu_0(((b_0A) \cdot (wg))h^{-1})} \text{ for } w \in \Lambda^{max} T_e B.$$

Let $X \in T_e B$ be represented by a curve $b(t)$, then $((b_0A) \cdot (Xg))h^{-1}$ is represented by $b_0b(t)b_0^{-1}$ and this gives us the first formula.

To prove the second one, let us again put $h := (Ab_0) \cdot g$ and $(Ab_0)\omega_0 =: f\omega_0$, but now

$$h = b_L(a_L(g)b_0^{-1})^{-1}g = a_R(a_L(g)b_0^{-1})b_0b_R(g) \text{ and } g = b_L(a_L(h)b_0)^{-1}h = a_R(a_L(h)b_0)b_0^{-1}b_R(h).$$

For X represented by a curve $b(t)$, the vector $((Ab_0) \cdot (Xg))h^{-1}$ is represented by

$$\tilde{b}(t) := b_L(a_L(b(t)g)b_0^{-1})^{-1}b(t)b_L(a_L(g)b_0^{-1})$$

To simplify expressions let us write: $g =: ab$. Now we observe that $\tilde{b}(t) =: b_1(t)b_2(t)$, for

$$b_1(t) := b_L(a_L(b(t)a)b_0^{-1})^{-1}b_L(ab_0^{-1}), \quad b_1(0) = e \text{ and } b_2(t) := b_L(ab_0^{-1})^{-1}b(t)b_L(ab_0^{-1}), \quad b_2(0) = e.$$

One easily verifies that $b_1(t) = b_R[b_R(b_0a^{-1})aa_R(a^{-1}b(t)^{-1}a)a^{-1}b_R(b_0a^{-1})^{-1}]$.

From this decomposition it follows that the tangent mapping is given by

$$T_e B \ni X \mapsto Ad(b_R(b_0a^{-1}))X - P_B Ad(b_R(b_0a^{-1})a)P_A Ad(a^{-1})X$$

Let $Ad(a) =: \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & \alpha_4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Ad(b_R(b_0a^{-1})) =: \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & 0 \\ \beta_3 & \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$ be the decompositions as in (9). With this notation, our mapping is equal to $\beta_4 + \beta_3\alpha_2\alpha_4^{-1}$. Substituting it to the expression for f and using the formula for h one gets

$$f(h) = \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_L(g))}{\psi_B(a_R(ab_0^{-1}))} \right|^{-1/2} |\det(\beta_4 + \beta_3\alpha_2\alpha_4^{-1})|^{-1/2} = \left| \frac{\det(\beta_4\alpha_4 + \beta_3\alpha_2)}{\psi_B(a_R(ab_0^{-1}))} \right|^{-1/2}$$

But since $b_R(b_0 a^{-1})a = a_R(ab_0^{-1})b_0$, we get $f(h) = |\varphi_B(b_0)|^{-1/2}$. ■

Now, using lemmas 4.8 and 4.7, we easily derive the formulae for the mappings K_1 and K_2 (we use notation $K_i(f\omega_0, f_i\omega_0) =: K_i(f, f_i)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)$).

$$K_1(f, f_1)(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = |\psi_B(a_L(b_1^{-1} a_2))|^{-1} |\varphi_B(b_1)|^{-1/2} f(a_1 b_1 a_L(b_1^{-1} a_2)) f_1(b_1^{-1} a_2 b_2) \quad (22)$$

$$K_2(f, f_2)(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = |\varphi_B(b_L(g_2))|^{-1/2} f(a_1 a_2 b_2) f_2(b_L^{-1}(a_1 b_L(g_2)) a_1 b_1). \quad (23)$$

Lemma 4.9 $K_1(\omega, \omega_1)(\omega_2 \otimes I) = \hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_1) = K_2(\omega, \omega_2)(I \otimes \omega_1)$.

Proof: Using the formula (22) and lemma 2.3 we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & K_1(f, f_1) * (f_2 \otimes I)(a_1 b_1, a_2 b_2) = \\ & = \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_L(b^{-1} a_2))|^{-1} f(a_1 b a_L(b^{-1} a_2)) f_2(b_L^{-1}(a_1 b) a_1 b_1) f_1(b^{-1} a_2 b_2) \end{aligned}$$

The mapping $B \ni b \mapsto b_L(a_2^{-1} b) \in B$ is a diffeomorphism. Applying it to the integrated density one gets exactly eq. (19). The second equality is immediate from lemma 2.3. ■

Now we know enough to get rid of K_1 and K_2 i.e. identify them with $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)$ and $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_1 \otimes I)$.

Proposition 4.10 *Let $\omega = f\omega_0, \omega_1 = f_1\omega_0 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$. Then $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1), \hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_1 \otimes I) \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$. Moreover, for $f *_{\delta}(I \otimes f_1)$ and $f *_{\delta}(f_1 \otimes I)$ given by:*

$$\hat{\delta}(f\omega_0)(I \otimes f_1\omega_0) =: (f *_{\delta}(I \otimes f_1))(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0) \text{ and } \hat{\delta}(f\omega_0)(f_1\omega_0 \otimes I) =: (f *_{\delta}(f_1 \otimes I))(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)$$

we have the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} (f *_{\delta}(I \otimes f_1))(g_1, g_2) &= |\psi_B(a_L(b_R(g_1)^{-1} g_2))|^{-1} |\varphi_B(b_R(g_1))|^{-1/2} f(g_1 a_L(b_R(g_1)^{-1} g_2)) f_1(b_R(g_1)^{-1} g_2) \\ (f *_{\delta}(f_1 \otimes I))(g_1, g_2) &= |\varphi_B(b_L(g_2))|^{-1/2} f(a_L(g_1) g_2) f_1(b_L(a_L(g_1) g_2)^{-1} g_1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: This proposition simply states that $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1) = K_1(\omega, \omega_1)$ and $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_1 \otimes I) = K_2(\omega, \omega_1)$. Let us verify the first equality. We know that $K_1(\omega, \omega_1)$, $K_2(\omega, \omega_1)$ and $\hat{\delta}(\omega)$ are multipliers on $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A)$. Using lemma 4.9 we have the following sequence of equalities for any $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(\omega, \omega_1)(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_3) &= K_1(\omega, \omega_1)(\omega_2 \otimes I)(I \otimes \omega_3) = \hat{\delta}(\omega)(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_1)(I \otimes \omega_3) = \\ &= \hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)(\omega_2 \otimes I)(I \otimes \omega_3) = \hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)(\omega_2 \otimes \omega_3). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, multipliers $K_1(\omega, \omega_1)$ and $\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1)$ agree on a dense subspace $\mathcal{A}(G_A) \otimes \mathcal{A}(G_A) \subset C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$, so they must be equal. The second equality can be proved in the same way. ■

In this way the first part of the statement c) of thm. 4.1 is proved.

Now we will prove the density conditions. Comparing the formula for $K_1(f, f_1)$ with the expression for W given in (6) we see that:

$$K_1(f, f_1)(s, t) = k_1(s, t)(f \otimes f_1)(W^{-1}(s, t)), \quad k_1(s, t) := |\psi_B(a_L(b_R(s)^{-1} t))|^{-1} |\varphi_B(b_R(s))|^{-1/2}$$

Because $k_1(s, t) \neq 0$, we can apply lemma 2.4 to conclude that elements in $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ can be approximated by elements of the form $K_1(\omega, \omega_1)$ in the norm given by $\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0$. Then from prop. 1.5 d) they can be approximated also in $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A) = C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$ and since $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ is dense $C_r^*(G_A \times G_A)$ we arrive at the conclusion: $\text{span}\{\Delta(a)(I \otimes b), a, b \in C_r^*(G_A)\}$ is dense in $C_r^*(G_A) \otimes C_r^*(G_A)$.

The same argument can be applied to $K_2(f, f_1)$ since one easily checks that the mapping:

$$G \times G \ni (s, t) \mapsto (a_L(s)t, b_L(a_L(s)t)^{-1}s) \in G \times G$$

is a diffeomorphism of $G \times G$ (its inverse is given by: $(s, t) \mapsto (b_L(s)t, a_L(b_L(s)t)^{-1}s)$).

This completes the proof of the theorem. ■

In this way we have the main component of a quantum group structure on $C_r^*(G_A)$ and in the next section we will look for the other ones.

5 Hopf-like structure of $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

In this section we identify other mappings which appear in the theory of quantum groups and show that the structure of algebra $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ is very similar to the structure of a Hopf algebra. Of course, because $\hat{\delta}$ is not a mapping from $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ to $\mathcal{A}(G_A) \otimes \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ and even not to multipliers of $\mathcal{A}(G_A) \otimes \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ (in the sense of van Daele [14]), the pair $(\mathcal{A}(G_A), \hat{\delta})$ is not a true Hopf algebra.

“Coinverse”

Let Q be the function described in section 3, eq. (9). For $z \in C$ we define a mapping τ_z by

$$\tau_z : \mathcal{A}(G_A) \ni \omega \mapsto |Q|^{iz} \omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_A). \quad (24)$$

Let us also define a mapping $R : \mathcal{A}(G_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ by

$$R(\omega)(g)(v \otimes w) := \omega(g^{-1})(w^{-1} \otimes v^{-1}), \text{ where } v \in \Lambda^{max} T_g^l G_A, w \in \Lambda^{max} T_g^r G_A \quad (25)$$

and v^{-1}, w^{-1} denote the images of v, w by the mapping $g \mapsto g^{-1}$.

Defining Rf by $(Rf)\omega_0 := R(f\omega_0)$ we easily get $(Rf)(g) = |\psi_B(a_L(g)a_R(g))|^{1/2} f(g^{-1})$.

Finally, let us define $\kappa : \mathcal{A}(G_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ by

$$\kappa := R \cdot \tau_{i/2} \text{ then } (\kappa f)(g) = |Q(g)|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_L(g)a_R(g))|^{1/2} f(g^{-1}). \quad (26)$$

It is clear that all these mappings are linear.

Proposition 5.1 1. τ_z is a one-parameter (complex) group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

2. $\tau_z \cdot * = * \cdot \tau_{\bar{z}}$

3. R is an involutive $*$ -antiautomorphism of $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

4. $R \cdot \tau_z = \tau_z \cdot R$

5. $\kappa(\omega_1 \omega_2) = \kappa(\omega_2) \kappa(\omega_1)$

6. $\kappa \cdot * \cdot \kappa \cdot * = id$.

Proof: Points 1. and 2. are immediate consequences of lemma 3.4. They are true for any cocycle Q on a differential groupoid [10].

3. Since R is implemented by a group inverse, it is clear that R is involutive. From the formula for Rf it immediately follows that R commutes with $*$ -operation on $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$. To prove that R is an antiautomorphism we compute:

$$R(f_1 * f_2)(g) = |\psi_B(a_L(g)a_R(g))|^{1/2} (f_1 * f_2)(g^{-1}) = |\psi_B(a\tilde{a})|^{1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b') f_1(\tilde{a}^{-1}b') f_2(b_L(\tilde{a}^{-1}b')^{-1}g^{-1}),$$

where $g =: ab = \tilde{b}\tilde{a}$. On the other hand:

$$((Rf_2) * (Rf_1))(g) = \int_B \mu_L^2(b') (Rf_2)(ab') (Rf_1)(b_L(ab')^{-1}g) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_B \mu_L^2(b') f_1(g^{-1}b_L(ab')) f_2(b'^{-1}a^{-1}) |\psi_B(aa_R(ab')) \psi_B(a_R(ab')\tilde{a})|^{1/2} = \\
&= |\psi_B(a\tilde{a})|^{1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b') |\psi_B(a_R(ab'))| f_1(g^{-1}b_L(ab')) f_2(b'^{-1}a^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

Now apply the diffeomorphism $B \ni b' \mapsto b_L(\tilde{a}b^{-1}b') \in B$ to this integral to get the previous one.

4. This follows directly from the definitions of R and τ .

5 and 6. Are direct consequences of the definitions and the previous statements. ■

“Counit”.

Since $F_l(e) = F_r(e) = B$ (e is the neutral element in G), for any $b \in B$ there is a mapping $\Omega_L^{1/2}(b) \otimes \Omega_R^{1/2}(b) \ni \lambda \otimes \rho \mapsto \lambda\rho \in \Omega^1(T_b B)$. In this way restriction of $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ to B defines a smooth one-density on B (with compact support). Integration of this density defines a linear functional ϵ on $\mathcal{A}(G_A) : \mathcal{A}(G_A) \ni \omega \mapsto \int_B \omega|_B \in C$. It is easy to see that

$$\epsilon(f\omega_0) = \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{1/2} f(b). \quad (27)$$

In the next proposition by $\kappa \otimes id$, $id \otimes \kappa$, $\epsilon \otimes id$, $id \otimes \epsilon$ we denote the natural extensions of these mappings to $\mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ and by $m : \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ the natural extension of the multiplication map of $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

Proposition 5.2 1. ϵ is a character on $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$

2. $(\epsilon \otimes id)\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1) = \omega\omega_1$ and $(id \otimes \epsilon)(\omega_1 \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(\omega) = \omega_1\omega$;

3. $m(\kappa \otimes id)\hat{\delta}(\omega)(I \otimes \omega_1) = \epsilon(\omega)\omega_1$ and $m(id \otimes \kappa)(\omega \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(\omega_1) = \epsilon(\omega_1)\omega$.

Proof: 1. The definition of ϵ is a special case of the following one. Let Γ be a differential groupoid and let $a \in E$ be such that $F_l(a) = F_r(a)$. Then we can define a linear functional ϵ_a on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ by $\epsilon_a(\omega) := \int_{F_l(a)} \omega|_{F_l(a)}$. We will prove that ϵ_a is a character on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$.

Let $\omega_1 = f_1\omega_0$, $\omega_2 = f_2\omega_0$ then

$$\epsilon_a(\omega_1\omega_2) = \int_{F_l(a)} \lambda_0(x)\rho_0(x) \int_{F_l(a)} \lambda_0^2(y)f_1(y)f_2(s(y)x) = \int_{F_l(a) \times F_l(a)} \mu(x,y)f_1(y)f_2(s(y)x),$$

where μ is a one density on $F_l(a) \times F_l(a)$ defined by

$$\mu(x,y)(v \otimes w) := \lambda_0(x)(v)\rho_0(x)(v)\lambda_0^2(y)(w), \quad v \in \Lambda^{max}T_x^l\Gamma, \quad w \in \Lambda^{max}T_y^l\Gamma.$$

Now consider a diffeomorphism Φ of $F_l(a) \times F_l(a)$ given by $(x,y) \mapsto (s(y)x,y)$. Short computations show that $(\Phi\mu)(z,t) = \nu(z,t)$ for $\nu(z,t)(v \otimes w) := \lambda_0(x)(v)\rho_0(x)(v)\lambda_0(y)(w)\rho_0(y)(w)$. In this way our integral is equal to

$$\int_{F_l(a) \times F_l(a)} \nu(x,y)f_1(x)f_2(y) = \left(\int_{F_l(a)} \lambda_0(x)\rho_0(x)f_1(x) \right) \left(\int_{F_l(a)} \lambda_0(y)\rho_0(y)f_2(y) \right) = \epsilon_a(\omega_1)\epsilon_a(\omega_2).$$

To prove the second property, let us notice that the density $\rho_0(x)\lambda_0(x)$ is real and invariant with respect to $s : F_l(a) \longrightarrow F_l(a)$. So we get

$$\epsilon_a(\omega^*) = \int_{F_l(a)} f^*(x)\lambda_0(x)\rho_0(x) = \int_{F_l(a)} \overline{f(s(x))}\lambda_0(x)\rho_0(x) = \int_{F_l(a)} \overline{f(x)}\lambda_0(x)\rho_0(x) = \overline{\epsilon_a(\omega)}.$$

2. For $\omega = F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)$ we have $(\epsilon \otimes id)(F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0))(g) = (\int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{1/2} F(b,g))\omega_0(g)$. Using the prop. 4.10 we compute:

$$(\epsilon \otimes id)\hat{\delta}(f\omega_0)(I \otimes f_1\omega_0)(g) = \left(\int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{1/2} (f *_{\delta} (I \otimes f_1))(b,g) \right) \omega_0(g) =$$

$$= \left(\int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\psi_B(a_L(b^{-1}g))|^{-1} f(ba_L(b^{-1}g)) f_1(b^{-1}g) \right) \omega_0(g)$$

Now apply a diffeomorphism $B \ni b \mapsto b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b) \in B$ to get the formula (13) for $\omega\omega_1$.

Since $(\omega_1 \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(\omega) = (\hat{\delta}(\omega^*)(\omega_1^* \otimes I))^*$ we easily get from prop. 4.10

$$(f_1\omega_0 \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(f\omega_0)(g_1, g_2) = |\varphi_B(b_L(g_2))|^{1/2} f(a_R(a_R(g_1)b_L(g_2)^{-1})g_2) f_1(g_1b_L(g_2)^{-1})(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)(g_1, g_2)$$

and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (id \otimes \epsilon)(f_1\omega_0 \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(f\omega_0)(g) &= \left(\int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{1/2} ((f_1 \otimes I) *_{\delta} f)(g, b) \right) \omega_0(g) = \\ &= \left(\int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)| f_1(gb^{-1}) f(a_R(a_R(g)b^{-1})b) \right) \omega_0(g) \end{aligned}$$

Again, applying a diffeomorphism $B \ni b \mapsto b_R(g)b^{-1} \in B$ we arrive at the formula (13) for $\omega_1\omega$.

3. For $F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0) \in \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ the mapping m is given by (compare (13))

$$m(F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)) = (mF)\omega_0 \text{ and } (mF)(g) := \int_B \mu_L^2(b) F(a_L(g)b, b_L(a_L(g)b)^{-1}g)$$

From the formula for κ (26) and prop. 4.10 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa \otimes id)\hat{\delta}(f\omega_0)(I \otimes f_1\omega_0)(g_1, g_2) &= (\kappa \otimes id)(f *_{\delta} (I \otimes f_1))(g_1, g_2)(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)(g_1, g_2) \text{ and} \\ (\kappa \otimes id)(f *_{\delta} (I \otimes f_1))(g_1, g_2) &= |Q(g_1)|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_L(g_1)a_R(g_1))|^{1/2} (f *_{\delta} (I \otimes f_1))(g_1^{-1}, g_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $g = ab$, we need a value of this function for $g_1 = \tilde{a}\tilde{b}$ and $g_2 = b_L(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-1}ab$. For this points we have $b_R(g_1^{-1}) = b_L(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa \otimes id)(f *_{\delta} (I \otimes f_1))(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}, b_L(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-1}ab) &= \\ &= |Q(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(aa_R(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}))|^{1/2} (f *_{\delta} (I \otimes f_1))(\tilde{b}^{-1}a^{-1}, b_L(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})^{-1}ab) = \\ &= |Q(\tilde{a}\tilde{b})|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(aa_R(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}))|^{1/2} |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} |\varphi_B(b_L(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}))|^{1/2} f(\tilde{b}^{-1}) f_1(ab) = \\ &= |\varphi_B(\tilde{b})|^{1/2} f(\tilde{b}^{-1}) f_1(ab), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (10). In this way

$$m(\kappa \otimes id)(f *_{\delta} (I \otimes f_1))(g) = f_1(g) \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{1/2} f(b^{-1}) = \epsilon(f\omega_0) f_1(g).$$

The second equality can be proved by similar computations. ■

Remark 5.3 The first two statements of the above proposition can also be proved by the following observation. If in a differential groupoid Γ there is $a \in E$ such that $F_l(a) = F_r(a)$, then a relation $h_a : \Gamma \rightrightarrows \{1\}$ defined by $Gr(h_a) := \{(1, g) : g \in F_l(a)\}$ is a morphism of differential groupoids. Since $\mathcal{A}(\{1\}) = C$ the associated mapping $\widehat{h_a}$ takes values in C and the functional ϵ_a is just $\widehat{h_a}$. For the functional ϵ , $a = e$ (the neutral element) and the relation is e_B^T (e_B -identity of G_B). So the second statement is implied by $(e_B^T \times id)\delta = (id \times e_B^T)\delta = id$, and this is just the transposition of the equality: $m_B(e_B \times id) = m_B(id \times e_B) = id$.

The statements in the last proposition are just axioms for a Hopf algebra rewritten in a way that makes sense in our situation. Whether this need be formalized is a question of finding other interesting examples.

Now we describe, how $\hat{\delta}$ commutes with R and τ_z . Let us define a mapping $\sim : \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A)$ by: $(\sim \omega)(g_1, g_2) := \omega(g_2, g_1)$.

Proposition 5.4 For any $z \in C$ and $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$:

$$\hat{\delta}(R\omega)(R\omega_1 \otimes R\omega_2) = \sim (R \otimes R)((\omega_2 \otimes \omega_1)\hat{\delta}(\omega)) \quad (28)$$

$$\hat{\delta}(\tau_z \omega)(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) = (\tau_z \otimes \tau_z)(\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\tau_{-z}\omega_1 \otimes \tau_{-z}\omega_2)) \quad (29)$$

Proof: Let $\omega =: f\omega_0$, $\omega_1 =: f_1\omega_0$, $\omega_2 =: f_2\omega_0$. Using the same notation as before, formulae for $\hat{\delta}$ (19) and R , let us compute the left hand side of the first equality

$$\begin{aligned} & (Rf) *_{\delta} (Rf_1 \otimes Rf_2)(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) = \\ &= \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} (Rf)(a_1a_2b) (Rf_1)(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1) (Rf_2)(a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2) = \\ &= \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_1a_2a_R(a_1a_2b))|^{1/2} f(b^{-1}a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}) \times \\ & \quad \times |\psi_B(a_R(a_1a_2b)a_L(b^{-1}a_2^{-1})a_R(a_1b_1))|^{1/2} f_1(b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}b_L(a_1a_2b)) \times \\ & \quad \times |\psi_B(a_R(a_2b)a_R(a_2b_2))|^{1/2} f_2(b_2^{-1}ba_R(a_2b)^{-1}) = \\ &= \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_1a_2a_R(a_1a_2b)^2a_R(a_1b_1)a_R(a_2b_2))|^{1/2} \times \\ & \quad \times f(b^{-1}a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}) f_1(b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}b_L(a_1a_2b)) f_2(b_2^{-1}ba_R(a_2b)^{-1}) = \\ & \quad = |\psi_B(a_1a_2a_R(a_1b_1)a_R(a_2b_2))|^{1/2} \times \\ & \quad \times \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_R(a_1a_2b))| f(b^{-1}a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}) f_1(b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}b_L(a_1a_2b)) f_2(b_2^{-1}ba_R(a_2b)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

And the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned} & \sim (R \otimes R)((f_2 \otimes f_1) *_{\delta} f)(a_1b_1, a_2b_2) = (R \otimes R)((f_2 \otimes f_1) *_{\delta} f)(a_2b_2, a_1b_1) = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_2a_1a_R(a_2b_2)a_R(a_1b_1))|^{1/2} (f_2 \otimes f_1) *_{\delta} f(b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}, b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}) = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_2a_1a_R(a_2b_2)a_R(a_1b_1))|^{1/2} \overline{(f_2^* *_{\delta} (f_2^* \otimes f_1^*))(s_B(a_2b_2), s_B(a_1b_1))} = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_2a_1a_R(a_2b_2)a_R(a_1b_1))|^{1/2} \overline{(f_2^* *_{\delta} (f_2^* \otimes f_1^*))(a_2^{-1}b_L(a_2b_2), a_1^{-1}b_L(a_1b_1))} = \\ & \quad = |\psi_B(a_1a_2a_R(a_1b_1)a_R(a_2b_2))|^{1/2} \times \\ & \quad \times \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_1^{-1}b))|^{-1/2} f^*(a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}b) f_2^*(b_L^{-1}(a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}b)a_2^{-1}b_L(a_2b_2)) f_1^*(a_R(a_1^{-1}b)b^{-1}b_L(a_1b_1)) = \\ & \quad = |\psi_B(a_1a_2a_R(a_1b_1)a_R(a_2b_2))|^{1/2} \times \\ & \quad \times \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_1^{-1}b))|^{-1/2} f(b_L(a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}b)^{-1}a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}) f_1(b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}b) f_2(b_2^{-1}a_2^{-1}b_R(b^{-1}a_1)^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Now use a diffeomorphism $b \mapsto b_L(a_2^{-1}a_1^{-1}b)$ to get the equality of two integrals.

To prove the second equality one immediately verifies that it is enough to prove that:

$$Q(a_1a_2b) = \frac{Q(a_1b_1)Q(a_2b_2)}{Q(b_L(a_1a_2b)^{-1}a_1b_1)Q(a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2)}$$

But since Q is a cocycle on G_A and G_B we have equalities: $Q(a_1a_2b) = Q(a_1b_L(a_2b))Q(a_2b)$, $Q(b_L(a_1a_2b)^{-1}a_1b_1) = Q(b_L(a_1a_2b)^{-1}a_1)Q(a_1b_1)$ and $Q(a_1b_L(a_2b)) = Q(b_L(a_1a_2b)^{-1}a_1)^{-1}$. Using them we easily arrive at the desired result. ■

6 Haar measure.

We begin by recalling some results from [10]. Let (Γ, m, s, E) be a differential groupoid. Choose some real, non-vanishing, right-invariant half-density $\tilde{\rho}_0$. Let $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \tilde{\lambda}_0 \otimes \tilde{\rho}_0$ be the corresponding bidensity. Let us also choose some real, non-vanishing half-density ν on E . For such a pair we define:

- A smooth function $\sigma : \Gamma \longrightarrow R \setminus \{0\}$ defined by $\sigma(g)(\tilde{\rho}_0 \otimes \nu)(g) = (\tilde{\lambda}_0 \otimes \nu)(g)$. We call σ *the modular function* associated with a pair $(\tilde{\rho}_0, \nu)$. This function is a one cocycle on Γ (i.e. for any composable $g_1, g_2 \in \Gamma$: $\sigma(g_1 g_2) = \sigma(g_1) \sigma(g_2)$). Therefore, it defines a one parameter (complex) group of automorphisms (not $*$ -automorphisms!) of $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$: $\sigma_z(\omega)(g) := |\sigma(g)|^{2iz} \omega(g)$;
- A positive linear functional h on $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$: $h(f \tilde{\omega}_0) := \int_E \nu^2 f$.
- A linear mapping $\hat{h} : \mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \ni f \tilde{\omega}_0 \mapsto f \tilde{\rho}_0 \otimes \nu \in L^2(\Gamma)$.

The next proposition describes basic properties of these objects.

Proposition 6.1 [10] *For any $\omega, \omega_1 \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$:*

- $h(\omega^* \omega) = (\hat{h}(\omega) | \hat{h}(\omega))$ (the right hand side is a scalar product in $L^2(\Gamma)$);
- $h(\sigma_z(\omega) \omega_1) = h(\omega_1 \sigma_{z-i}(\omega))$;
- $\hat{h}(\omega \omega_1) = \pi_{id}(\omega) \hat{h}(\omega_1)$

■

Now we specify the choice of $\tilde{\rho}_0$ and ν for our groupoid G_A . Let $\mu_0 \neq 0$ be a real, half-density on $T_e B$ and let us define a right-invariant half-density $\tilde{\rho}_0$ on G_A by the formula

$$\tilde{\rho}_0(g)(w) := \mu_0(wg^{-1}), \quad w \in \Lambda^{max} T_g^r G_A.$$

Let $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ be the corresponding left-invariant half-density and $\tilde{\omega}_0 = \tilde{\lambda}_0 \otimes \tilde{\rho}_0$. Short computations show that $\tilde{\omega}_0(g) = |\psi_B(a_L(g) a_R(g))|^{1/2} \omega_0$ (where ω_0 denotes our standard bidensity constructed from μ_0 as in (11,12)). Let us also choose some $\nu_0 \neq 0$ – a real, half-density on $T_e A$ and let ν_r be the corresponding right-invariant half-density on A . In our standard representation of bidensities we get

$$h(f \omega_0) = \int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} f(a).$$

From the definitions of h , σ and τ it is clear that $h\tau_z = h$ and $\sigma_z \tau_w = \tau_w \sigma_z$. In the next lemma we give the formula for the associated modular function.

Lemma 6.2 *Let $\tilde{\rho}_0$ and ν_r be as above. Then the modular function σ is given by*

$$\sigma(g) = \left| \frac{\psi_A(a_L(g)) \varphi_A(b_R(g))}{\varphi_B(b_L(g)) \psi_A(a_R(g))} \right|^{1/2}.$$

Proof: From the definition of $\tilde{\lambda}_0$ one can easily see that $\tilde{\lambda}_0(g)(gv) = |\psi_B(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \mu_0(v)$, $v \in \Lambda^{max} T_e B$. The subspace $gT_e A \subset T_g G$ is complementary to $T_g^r G_A$ and $T_g^l G_A$ i.e. $T_g G = gT_e A \oplus T_g^r G_A = gT_e A \oplus T_g^l G_A$. Therefore, for $u \in \Lambda^{max} T_e A$, $v \in \Lambda^{max} T_e B$ we have the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\rho}_0 \otimes \nu_r)(g)(vg \wedge gu) &= \mu_0(v) \nu_r(a_R(g))(a_R(gu)) = |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \mu_0(v) \nu_0(u) \\ (\tilde{\lambda}_0 \otimes \nu_r)(g)(gv \wedge gu) &= |\psi_B(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \mu_0(v) \nu_r(a_L(g))(a_L(gu)) = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_R(g))|^{1/2} |\varphi_A(b_R(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_L(g))|^{1/2} \mu_0(v) \nu_0(u) \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $vg \wedge gu = g(g^{-1}vg) \wedge gu = \det(P_B \text{Ad}(g^{-1})P_B)(gv \wedge gu)$ we get the expression for $\sigma(g)$

$$\sigma(g) = |\psi_B(a_R(g))|^{1/2} |\varphi_A(b_R(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\det(P_B \text{Ad}(g^{-1})P_B)|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{-1/2},$$

and the result follows from the equality $\det(P_B \text{Ad}(g^{-1})P_B) = \psi_B(a_R(g))^{-1} \varphi_B(b_L(g))^{-1}$. ■

Let $h \otimes id : \mathcal{A}(G_A \times G_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ be the natural extension of $h \otimes id : \mathcal{A}(G_A) \otimes \mathcal{A}(G_A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ i.e. $((h \otimes id)(F(\omega_0 \otimes \omega_0)))(g) := (\int_A |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} \nu_r^2(a) F(a, g)) \omega_0(g)$. The next proposition states that the functional h is “right-invariant”, relates h to κ and describes commutation of $\hat{\delta}$ with the modular group σ_z . Let us recall that a functional φ on a Hopf algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \Delta)$ is right-invariant iff $(\varphi \otimes id)\Delta(a) = \varphi(a)I$.

Proposition 6.3 *For any $\omega, \omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$:*

$$(h \otimes id)(\hat{\delta}(\omega_1)(I \otimes \omega_2)) = h(\omega_1)\omega_2, \quad (30)$$

$$(h \otimes id)(\hat{\delta}(\omega_1^*)(\omega_2 \otimes I)) = \kappa((h \otimes id)((\omega_1^* \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(\omega_2))) \quad (31)$$

$$\hat{\delta}(\sigma_z(\omega))(\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2) = (\sigma_z \otimes \tau_z)(\hat{\delta}(\omega)(\sigma_{-z}\omega_1 \otimes \tau_{-z}\omega_2)) \quad (32)$$

Proof: Using prop. 4.10 and right invariance of ν_r we get

$$\begin{aligned} (h \otimes id)(\hat{\delta}(f_1\omega_0)(I \otimes f_2\omega_0))(g) &= \left(\int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{-1} f_1(aa_L(g)) f_2(g) \right) \omega_0(g) = \\ &= \left(\int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(aa_L(g))|^{-1} f_1(aa_L(g)) \right) \omega_2(g) = h(\omega_1)\omega_2(g). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the second formula, we again use prop. 4.10, right invariance of ν_r and the formula for $(\omega_1^* \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(\omega_2)$ given in the proof of the proposition 5.2 to obtain the left hand side of the equality (31).

$$\begin{aligned} (h \otimes id)(\hat{\delta}((f_1\omega_0)^*)(f_2\omega_0 \otimes I))(g) &= \left(\int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} (f_1^* *_{\delta} (f_2 \otimes I))(a, g) \right) \omega_0(g) = \\ &= \left(|\varphi_B(b_L(g))|^{-1/2} \int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} \overline{f_1(s_A(ag))} f_2(b_L(ag)^{-1}a) \right) \omega_0(g) \end{aligned}$$

And the right hand side of this equality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa((h \otimes id)((f_1\omega_0)^* \otimes I)\hat{\delta}(f_2\omega_0))(g) = \\ &= \left(|Q(g)|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_L(g)a_R(g))|^{1/2} \int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} ((f_1^* \otimes I) *_{\delta} f_2)(a, g^{-1}) \right) \omega_0(g) = \\ &= \left(|Q(g)|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_L(g)a_R(g))|^{1/2} |\varphi_B(g^{-1})|^{1/2} \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} \overline{f_1(s_A(ab_R(g)))} f_2(a_R(ab_R(g))g^{-1}) \right) \omega_0(g) \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the relationship among Q and modular functions (10) to convert this expression into

$$\left(|\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\varphi_B(b_L(g))|^{-1/2} \int_A \nu_r^2(a) |\psi_B(a)|^{-1} \overline{f_1(s_A(ab_R(g)))} f_2(a_R(ab_R(g))g^{-1}) \right) \omega_0(g)$$

Since $ab_R(g) = aa_L(g)^{-1}g$, $a_R(ab_R(g))g^{-1} = b_L(aa_L(g)^{-1}g)^{-1}aa_L(g)^{-1}$ and ν_r is right-invariant this is equal to the left hand side.

From the formula for $\hat{\delta}$ (19) and definitions of σ and τ it easily follows that to prove the third statement it is sufficient to show the equality

$$\frac{\psi_A(a_1a_2)\varphi_A(b)}{\psi_A(a_R(a_1a_2b))\varphi_B(b_L(a_1a_2b))} =$$

$$= \frac{\psi_A(a_1)\varphi_A(b_1)Q(a_2b_2)\varphi_B(b_L(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1))\psi_A(a_R(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1))}{\varphi_B(b_L(a_1b_1))\psi_A(a_R(a_1b_1))\psi_A(a_L(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1))\varphi_A(b_R(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1))Q(a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2)}$$

and this is straightforward because of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} b_L(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1) &= b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)b_L(a_1b_1), \quad a_R(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1) = a_R(a_1b_1), \\ a_L(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1) &= a_R(a_1a_2b)a_R^{-1}(a_2b), \quad b_R(b_L^{-1}(a_1a_2b)a_1b_1) = b_L^{-1}(a_2b)b_1, \\ Q(a_2b_2) &= Q(a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2)Q(a_2b), \end{aligned}$$

and equation (10). ■

The relationships among h , $\hat{h}$ and σ_t given in prop 6.1 suggest that $\hat{h}$ can be extended to a GNS mapping and h to a KMS weight on $C_r^*(G_A)$. And indeed this is true and was proved in [10] for a general differential groupoid.

Proposition 6.4 [10] *The mapping $\hat{h}$ is closable and defines GNS mapping from $C_r^*(G_A)$ to $L^2(G)$. Consequently, the linear functional h can be extended to a densely defined, lower semi-continuous weight on $C_r^*(G_A)$, which is a KMS weight with the modular group σ_t .*

In the remaining part of this section we show that this weight is right-invariant. The crucial (although not difficult) step is lemma 6.5, and then we use results from [15] and [16].

Let H be a Hilbert space. For two vectors $x, y \in H$, we define a linear functional η_{xy} on $B(H)$ by $\eta_{xy}(a) := (x|ay)$. Then for $a \in B(H \otimes H)$ we define operators $(id \otimes \eta_{xy})a$ and $(\eta_{xy} \otimes id)a$ by $(z|((id \otimes \eta_{xy})a)t) := (z \otimes x|a(t \otimes y))$ and $(z|((\eta_{xy} \otimes id)a)t) := (x \otimes z|a(y \otimes t))$.

Lemma 6.5 *Let $\hat{h} : C_r^*(G_A) \rightarrow L^2(G)$ be the GNS-mapping associated with the weight h and W the multiplicative unitary defined in (6). For $x, y \in L^2(G)$ and $a \in D(\hat{h})$ an element $\hat{h}((id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(a))$ belongs to $D(\hat{h})$ and*

$$\hat{h}((id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(a)) = ((id \otimes \eta_{xy})W)\hat{h}(a)$$

Proof: First, let us notice that since $\hat{h}$ is a closed mapping and $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ is its core, it is enough to prove the formula for $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$. Indeed, let $a \in D(\hat{h})$ and $x = \hat{h}(a)$. This means that $a = \lim \omega_n$, $\omega_n \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ and $x = \lim \hat{h}(\omega_n)$. It is shown below that $(id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(\omega_n)$ is a sequence in $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ and it converges to $(id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(a)$. So $\hat{h}((id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(\omega_n)) = ((id \otimes \eta_{xy})W)\hat{h}(\omega_n)$. But since $\lim \hat{h}(\omega_n) = x$, the sequence on the right hand side is convergent to $((id \otimes \eta_{xy})W)x$. Therefore $(id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(a)$ is in $D(\hat{h})$ and $\hat{h}((id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(a)) = ((id \otimes \eta_{xy})W)\hat{h}(a)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(G)$ is dense in $L^2(G)$, similar arguments show that it is enough to check the equality for $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(G)$.

Now we compute $(id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(\omega)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$. Let $\psi_0 := \rho_0 \otimes \nu_r$, where ρ_0 is our standard right-invariant half-density defined in (12) and ν_r is as in the definition of h . We write: $x =: f_x\psi_0$, $y =: f_y\psi_0$, $\omega =: f\omega_0$. With this notation we get $(id \otimes \eta_{xy})\pi_\delta(\omega) = f_{xy}\omega_0$, where

$$f_{xy}(ab) := |\varphi_B(b)|^{-1/2} \int_G \psi_0^2(g_2) \overline{f_x(g_2)} |\psi_B(a_R(a_2^{-1}b))| f(aa_2b_L(a_2^{-1}b)) f_y(b^{-1}g_2). \quad (33)$$

To prove this formula it is enough to show that $(z|\pi_{id}(f_{xy}\omega_0)t) = (z \otimes x|\pi_\delta(\omega)(t \otimes y))$ for any $z, t \in \mathcal{D}(G)$. Let $z =: f_z\psi_0$, $t =: f_t\psi_0$. From the formula for $\hat{\delta}$ (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (z \otimes x|\pi_\delta(\omega)(t \otimes y)) &= \int_{G \times G} (\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0)^2(g_1, g_2) \overline{f_z(g_1)} f_x(g_2) \times \\ &\times \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} f(a_1a_2b) f_t(b_L(a_1a_2b)^{-1}a_1b_1) f_y(a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2) = \\ &= \int_G \psi_0^2(g_1) \overline{f_z(g_1)} \int_G \psi_0^2(g_2) \overline{f_x(g_2)} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b_L(a_2b))|^{-1/2} f(a_1a_2b) f_t(b_L(a_1a_2b)^{-1}a_1b_1) f_y(a_R(a_2b)b^{-1}b_2),$$

where $g_1 = a_1b_1$, $g_2 = a_2b_2$.

Applying a diffeomorphism $B \ni b \mapsto b_L(a_2b) \in B$, we can rewrite integral over B as

$$\int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{-1/2} |\psi_B(a_R(a_1^{-1}b))| f(a_1a_2b_L(a_2^{-1}b)) f_y(b^{-1}a_2b_2) f_t(b_L(a_1b)^{-1}a_1b_1),$$

and interchanging the order of integration we get

$$\begin{aligned} (z \otimes x | \pi_\delta(\omega)(t \otimes y)) &= \int_G \psi_0^2(g_1) \overline{f_z(g_1)} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\varphi_B(b)|^{-1/2} \times \\ &\times \left[\int_G \psi_0^2(g_2) \overline{f_x(g_2)} |\psi_B(a_R(a_1^{-1}b))| f(a_1a_2b_L(a_2^{-1}b)) f_y(b^{-1}g_2) \right] f_t(b_L(a_1b)^{-1}g_1). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing this with the formula for a multiplication in G_A (13) and the definition of f_{xy} we obtain the result.

To prove the lemma it remains to show that $(z | \hat{h}(f_{xy}\omega_0)) = (z \otimes x | W(\hat{h}(\omega) \otimes y))$. Using the definition of $\hat{h}$ we obtain $\hat{h}(f\omega_0) = \hat{f}\psi_0$, for $\hat{f}(g) := f(g) |\psi_B(a_R(g))|^{-1/2}$. Let us compute the right hand side of our equality

$$\begin{aligned} (z \otimes x | W(\hat{h}(\omega) \otimes y)) &= \int_{G \times G} (\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0)^2(g_1, g_2) \overline{f_z(g_1)} \overline{f_x(g_2)} [W(\hat{f} \otimes f_y)](g_1, g_2) = \\ &= \int_G \psi_0^2(g_1) \overline{f_z(g_1)} \int_G \psi_0^2(g_2) \overline{f_x(g_2)} [W(\hat{f} \otimes f_y)](g_1, g_2) \end{aligned}$$

In this way we have to prove the equality

$$f_{xy}(g) |\psi_B(a_R(g))|^{-1/2} = \int_G \psi_0^2(g_2) \overline{f_x(g_2)} [W(\hat{f} \otimes f_y)](g, g_2), \quad (**)$$

where $W(f\psi_0 \otimes k\psi_0) =: W(f \otimes k)(\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0)$.

The formula for the action of W was given after the lemma 4.4. Notice, that now ψ_0 is not the same as ψ_0 defined before that lemma, so the expressions for $W(\hat{f} \otimes f_y)$ are different. But since both ψ_0 's can be easily compared, we immediately deduce needed formula from the one contained in lemma 4.4:

$$[W(\hat{f} \otimes f_y)](g, g_2) = |\varphi_B(b_R(g)) \psi_B(a_L(b_R(g)^{-1}g_2))|^{-1/2} \hat{f}(ga_L(b_R(g)^{-1}g_2)) f_y(b_R(g)^{-1}g_2)$$

To verify the equality (**) use this expression, expression for f_{xy} (33) and $\hat{f}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Now we can prove right invariance of h with respect to positive vector functionals in a GNS space for h . This immediately follows from

Proposition 6.6 *For any $a \in D(\hat{h})$ and $x \in L^2(G)$:*

$$h((id \otimes \eta_{xx})\pi_\delta(a^*a)) = \|x\|^2 h(a^*a).$$

Proof: Let e_i be an orthonormal basis in $L^2(G)$. For $x \in L^2(G)$ let

$$B_n := \sum_{i=1}^n [(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x})\pi_\delta(a)]^* [(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x})\pi_\delta(a)].$$

Then $B_n \in C_r^*(G_A)$ and B_n converges strictly to $(id \otimes \eta_{xx})\pi_\delta(a^*a)$ (see [15]). On the other hand

$$h(B_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\hat{h}[(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x})\pi_\delta(a)] | \hat{h}[(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x})\pi_\delta(a)] \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left([(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x})W] \hat{h}(a) | [(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x})W] \hat{h}(a) \right) =$$

$$= (\hat{h}(a) | \sum_{i=1}^n [(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x}) W]^* [(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x}) W] \hat{h}(a)).$$

Because $W \in M(CB(L^2(G)) \otimes C_r^*(G_A))$ (see remarks after lemma 3.3), the sequence $\sum_{i=1}^n [(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x}) W]^* [(id \otimes \eta_{e_i x}) W]$ converges strongly to $(id \otimes \eta_{xx})(W^* W) = \|x\|^2 I$. Therefore $h(B_n)$ converges to $\|x\|^2 h(a^* a)$. Since the weight h is strictly lower semi-continuous we get the result. $\blacksquare$

The last step is to prove that this is enough for full right invariance. To this end we use the following

Theorem 6.7 [16] *Let h be a densely defined, lower semicontinuous weight on a separable C^* -algebra A and (H, η_h, π_h) be a GNS-triple. There exists a sequence of vectors $\Omega_n \in H$ such that:*

1. $\pi_h(A)\Omega_n$ and $\pi_h(A)\Omega_m$ are orthogonal for $m \neq n$;
2. $a \in D(\eta_h) \iff \sum \|\pi_h(a)\Omega_n\|^2 < \infty$;
3. $\eta_h(a) = \sum \pi_h(a)\Omega_n$;
4. $H = \oplus H_n$, $H_n := \overline{\pi_h(A)\Omega_n}$

$\blacksquare$

To simplify notation we put: $\eta_i := \eta_{\Omega_i \Omega_i}$ and, for $a, b \in D(\hat{h})$, $\eta_{ia} := \eta_{\Omega_i \hat{h}(a)}$, $\eta_{ab} := \eta_{\hat{h}(a) \hat{h}(b)}$. We also denote $C_r^*(G_A)$ by A . From lemma 6.5 and the theorem above we easily obtain three useful formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_i \otimes id) \Delta(a) &= (\eta_{ia} \otimes id) W, \quad a \in D(\hat{h}), \\ \Delta(a)(\Omega_n \otimes x) &= (p_n \otimes I) W(\hat{h}(a) \otimes x), \quad a \in D(\hat{h}), \\ (\eta_{i(a^* a)} \otimes id) W &= (\eta_a \otimes id)(W^*(p_i \otimes I) W), \end{aligned}$$

where p_n denotes projection onto the closure of $A\Omega_n$.

Indeed, let $x, y, z \in L^2(G)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} (x | (\eta_{ia} \otimes id) W y) &= (\Omega_i \otimes x | W(\hat{h}(a) \otimes y)) = (\Omega_i | (id \otimes \eta_{xy}) W \hat{h}(a)) = \\ &= (\Omega_i | \hat{h}((id \otimes \eta_{xy}) \Delta(a))) = (\Omega_i | (id \otimes \eta_{xy}) \Delta(a) \Omega_i) = (x | (\eta_i \otimes id) \Delta(a) y), \end{aligned}$$

and this proves the first one. To prove the second one, let us compute

$$(x \otimes z | W(\hat{h}(a) \otimes y)) = (x | (id \otimes \eta_{zy}) W \hat{h}(a)) = (x | \hat{h}((id \otimes \eta_{zy}) \Delta(a))) = \sum_n (x | (id \otimes \eta_{zy}) \Delta(a) \Omega_n),$$

so

$$(x \otimes z | (p_n \otimes I) W(\hat{h}(a) \otimes y)) = (x | (id \otimes \eta_{zy}) \Delta(a) \Omega_n) = (x \otimes z | \Delta(a)(\Omega_n \otimes y)).$$

And the third one:

$$\begin{aligned} (z | (\eta_{i(a^* a)} \otimes id) W y) &= (\Omega_i \otimes z | W(\hat{h}(a^* a) \otimes y)) = (\Omega_i \otimes z | W(a^* \otimes I)(\hat{h}(a) \otimes y)) = \\ &= (\Omega_i \otimes z | \Delta(a^*) W(\hat{h}(a) \otimes y)) = (\Delta(a)(\Omega_i \otimes z) | W(\hat{h}(a) \otimes y)) = \\ &= (\hat{h}(a) \otimes z | W^*(p_i \otimes I) W \hat{h}(a) \otimes y) = (z | ((\eta_a \otimes id) W^*(p_i \otimes I) W) y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let φ be a positive linear functional on A and $(K, \pi_\varphi, \Omega_\varphi)$ the associated GNS triple. We compute:

$$\eta_i((id \otimes \varphi) \Delta(a^* a)) = \varphi((\eta_i \otimes id) \Delta(a^* a)) = \varphi((\eta_{i(a^* a)} \otimes id) W) = \varphi((\eta_a \otimes id)(W^*(p_i \otimes I) W))$$

But since $W \in M(CB(L^2(G)) \otimes C_r^*(G_A))$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ converges strictly (in $B(H) = M(CB(H))$) to I , we conclude that $\sum_i \eta_i((id \otimes \varphi) \Delta(a^* a)) = h(a^* a) \varphi(I)$. This shows that $h((id \otimes \varphi) \Delta(a^* a))$ is finite and equal to $h(a^* a) \varphi(I)$. In this way we have proved:

Proposition 6.8 *Let $a \in D(\hat{h})$ and φ be a positive linear functional on A . Then*

$$h((id \otimes \varphi) \Delta(a^* a)) = h(a^* a) \varphi(I).$$

$\blacksquare$

Therefore the weight h is right-invariant.

7 Putting all together.

In this section we lift some objects from the section 5 to a C^* -algebraic level and recapitulate the structure we got. Let us start by showing that R defines $*$ -antiautomorphism of $C_r^*(G_A)$. To this end it is enough to prove its continuity. This will immediately follow from

Lemma 7.1 *There exists an antiunitary operator $\hat{J}$ on $L^2(G_A)$ such that*

$$\pi_{id}(R\omega) = \hat{J}\pi_{id}(\omega^*)\hat{J}, \quad \omega \in \mathcal{A}(G_A).$$

Proof: Since s_B (inverse of the groupoid G_B) is a diffeomorphism of G_A , it defines a unitary operator on $L^2(G_A)$ (by a push-forward of half-densities), which we also denote by s_B . On $L^2(G_A)$ there is a canonical antiunitary involution, namely a complex conjugation: $\bar{\cdot}$. Let us define $\hat{J} := \bar{\cdot} s_B$ and check that this is the right choice. We choose $\psi_0 = \rho_0 \otimes \nu$ – a non-vanishing, real half-density on G_A as before lemma 4.4. Then short computations show that:

$$s_B(Yg \wedge gX) = \psi_B(a_L(g))^{-1} \psi_A(a_R(g)) (-1)^{\dim A} (Y s_B(g) \wedge s_B(g) X) \quad (34)$$

$$\text{for } X \in \Lambda^{max} T_e A, Y \in \Lambda^{max} T_e B$$

From this formula we deduce that $(s_B \psi_0)(g) = |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \psi_0(g)$. Defining $(s_B \psi)$ for any smooth, compactly supported function ψ on G_A by $s_B(\psi \psi_0) =: (s_B \psi) \psi_0$ we get

$$(s_B \psi)(g) = |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \psi(s_B(g)).$$

As usual, writing $\omega = f\omega_0$, we have to show that $(Rf) * \psi = \overline{s_B((f^*) * \overline{(s_B \psi)})}$. We compute the left hand side using the formulae for R (25) and the multiplication (13):

$$\begin{aligned} ((Rf) * \psi)(g) &= \int_B \mu_L^2(b) (Rf)(a_L(g)b) \psi(b_L(a_L(g)b)^{-1}g) = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)b))|^{1/2} f(b^{-1}a_L(g)^{-1}) \psi(b_L(a_L(g)b)^{-1}g). \end{aligned}$$

And the right hand side

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{s_B(f^* * \overline{s_B \psi})}(g) &= |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \overline{(f^* * \overline{s_B \psi})(s_B(g))} = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) \overline{(f^*)(a_L(s_B(g))b)} (s_B \psi)(b_L(a_L(s_B(g))b)^{-1} s_B(g)) = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) f(s_A(a_L(g)^{-1}b)) (s_B \psi)(b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b)^{-1} s_B(g)) = \\ &= |\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) f(b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b)^{-1} a_L(g)^{-1}) (s_B \psi)(b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b)^{-1} s_B(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply to the integral over B a diffeomorphism $B \ni b \mapsto b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b) \in B$ to convert it into

$$|\psi_B(a_L(g))|^{1/2} |\psi_A(a_R(g))|^{1/2} \int_B \mu_L^2(b) |\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)b))| f(b^{-1}a_L(g)^{-1}) (s_B \psi)(b^{-1} s_B(g))$$

and use the definition of $s_B \psi$ to conclude that that this is equal to left hand side. ■

Now we pass to the group τ_t . The following proposition was proved in [10]:

Proposition 7.2 *Let Γ be a differential groupoid and $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ a smooth cocycle. The mapping $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \ni \omega \mapsto \sigma_t(\omega) := \sigma^{it} \omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ extends to a strongly continuous one parameter group on $C_r^*(\Gamma)$. Let $\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}$ be its analytic generator, then $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$ is a core for $\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}$ and $\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}(\omega) = \sigma^{-1/2} \omega$ for $\omega \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma)$.*

■

From this proposition we infer that τ_t defined by (24) extends to a strongly continuous one parameter group on $C_r^*(G_A)$, moreover from prop. 5.1 this group commutes with R . Since now we know that R , τ_t and σ_t are continuous, using lemma 5.4 and prop. 6.3 we easily obtain the following equalities on $C_r^*(G_A)$:

$$\Delta\tau_t = (\tau_t \otimes \tau_t)\Delta, \quad \Delta R = \sim (R \otimes R)\Delta, \quad \Delta\sigma_t = (\sigma_t \otimes \tau_t)\Delta.$$

We finish this work with a short resume. Let $(G; A, B)$ be a double Lie group.

- There are naturally defined differential groupoids G_A, G_B over A and B respectively. Let $C := C_r^*(G_A)$ be the reduced C^* -algebra of G_A .
- The relation $\delta := m_B^T$ defines $\Delta \in \text{Mor}(C, C \otimes C)$ which is a comultiplication in the sense of the theory of quantum groups.
- The inverse of the group G defines the involutive $*$ -antiautomorphism R of C .
- There is a strongly continuous one parameter group of $*$ -automorphisms τ_t on C , which commutes with R .
- There exists right-invariant, densely defined, lower semi-continuous weight h on C which is a KMS weight with a modular group σ_t . Moreover the weight h is τ invariant.
- The groups σ_t and τ_t commute.
- $\Delta\tau_t = (\tau_t \otimes \tau_t)\Delta, \quad \Delta R = \sim (R \otimes R)\Delta, \quad \Delta\sigma_t = (\sigma_t \otimes \tau_t)\Delta.$

Because positions of groups A and B in a DLG $(G; A, B)$ are completely symmetric, in fact, there are two quantum groups based on $C_r^*(G_A)$ and $C_r^*(G_B)$ respectively. One can think of them as duals, but the precise sense of this duality is to be understood. If these algebras coincide with algebras defined by the multiplicative operator W in the “standard way” (see Appendix B), then one can say that this is the meaning of duality. However, here we have more, namely, there is a natural duality (in fact two ones) between $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ and $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ (see Appendix A). This duality enable us to think about these algebras as “dual Hopf algebras”. There is also a class of representations of (say) $C_r^*(G_B)$, the ones coming from morphisms of differential groupoids $G_B \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$, which define, via W , representations of quantum group based on $C_r^*(G_A)$.

8 Appendixes

A. Geometric interpretation of the function Q . Let V be a finite dimensional vector space (over C or R). Suppose we are given four subspaces $L_1, L_2, R_1, R_2 \subset V$, such that $\dim L_1 = \dim R_1$, $\dim L_2 = \dim R_2$ and $V = L_1 \oplus R_2 = R_1 \oplus L_2 = L_1 \oplus L_2 = R_1 \oplus R_2$. Moreover let $\lambda_i, \rho_i, i = 1, 2$ be half-densities on L_i and R_i respectively. The quadruple $(\lambda_1, \rho_1, \lambda_2, \rho_2)$ defines two densities d_1, d_2 on V as follows: $d_1 := (\lambda_1 \otimes \rho_2)(\lambda_2 \otimes \rho_1)$ and $d_2 := (\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2)(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)$. One can check, that d_1, d_2 depend only on $\lambda_1 \otimes \rho_1$ and $\lambda_2 \otimes \rho_2$ and the dependence is bilinear, so in fact d_1, d_2 are bilinear mappings $d_1, d_2 : (\Omega^{1/2}(L_1) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(R_1)) \times (\Omega^{1/2}(L_2) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(R_2)) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(V)$. Since the space $\Omega^1(V)$ is one dimensional we have that $d_1 = cd_2$ for some $c \in C$. To find constant c is enough to compare d_1 and d_2 on some basis in V . Let us recall that a p -density d on V is a mapping $d : V^n := V \times \dots \times V \longrightarrow C, n = \dim V$ which satisfy the condition

$$d(v_{i_1}A_{i_1 1}, \dots, v_{i_n}A_{i_n n}) = |\det A|^p d(v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ (summation),}$$

for any $A \in M_{n \times n}(R)$ (or $A \in M_{n \times n}(C)$) and any $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$

If $(v_1, \dots, v_n) =: \mathbf{v}$ is a basis in V then we write the above condition as $d(\mathbf{v}A) = |\det A|^p d(\mathbf{v})$.

Choose $\mathbf{l} := (l_1, \dots, l_n)$, $\mathbf{r} := (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{l}} := (\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_m)$, $\tilde{\mathbf{r}} := (\tilde{r}_1, \dots, \tilde{r}_m)$ – bases in L_1, R_1, L_2, R_2 , respectively. Let I denotes the identity matrix (of appropriate dimension) and let matrices $A, B, C, D, J, K, G, H, M, M_1, M_2$ be defined by:

$$(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) =: (\mathbf{r}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix} =: (\mathbf{r}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}})M$$

$$(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) =: (\mathbf{r}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ K & I \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{r}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}})M_1, \quad (\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) =: (\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \begin{pmatrix} I & G \\ 0 & H \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}})M_2.$$

Now we can compare d_1 and d_2

$$\begin{aligned} d_1(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) &:= (\lambda_1 \otimes \rho_2)(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}})(\lambda_2 \otimes \rho_1)(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) = (\lambda_1 \otimes \rho_2)((\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}})M_2)(\lambda_2 \otimes \rho_1)((\mathbf{r}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}})M_1) = \\ &= |\det H|^{1/2} |\det J|^{1/2} \lambda_1(\mathbf{l}) \rho_2(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \lambda_2(\tilde{\mathbf{l}}) \rho_1(\mathbf{r}). \\ d_2(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) &:= (\lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2)(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}})(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)(\mathbf{l}, \tilde{\mathbf{l}}) = \lambda_1(\mathbf{l}) \lambda_2(\tilde{\mathbf{l}}) (\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2)((\mathbf{r}, \tilde{\mathbf{r}})M) = \\ &= |\det M|^{1/2} \lambda_1(\mathbf{l}) \lambda_2(\tilde{\mathbf{l}}) \rho_1(\mathbf{r}) \rho_2(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}). \end{aligned}$$

In this way $d_2 = \frac{|\det M|^{1/2}}{|\det H|^{1/2} |\det J|^{1/2}} d_1$.

But from equalities:

$$\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{r}A + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}B, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{l}} = \mathbf{r}C + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}D, \quad \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{r}J + \tilde{\mathbf{l}}K, \quad \tilde{\mathbf{l}} = \mathbf{l}G + \tilde{\mathbf{r}}H,$$

we infer that $C = AG$, $B = DK$, $J = A(I - GK)$, $D = (I - KG)$ and we can write

$$d_2 = \left| \frac{\det A \det D}{\det M} \right|^{1/2} d_1.$$

Now for a DLG $(G; A, B)$ let us fix a point $g \in G$ and define

$$L_1 := T_g^l G_B, \quad L_2 = T_g^l G_A, \quad R_1 := T_g^r G_B, \quad R_2 := T_g^r G_A \quad \text{and} \quad V := T_g G.$$

Let $\mathbf{X} := (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$ be bases in $T_e A$ and $T_e B$, respectively. Then $g\mathbf{X}, g\mathbf{Y}$, $\mathbf{X}g, \mathbf{Y}g$ are bases in L_1, L_2, R_1, R_2 , respectively. Moreover, if $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ B & D \end{pmatrix}$ is a matrix of adjoint representation of g in $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$, then $(g\mathbf{X}, g\mathbf{Y}) = (\mathbf{X}g, \mathbf{Y}g)M$. Comparing this with the definition of the function Q we conclude that $d_1 = |Q(g)|^{1/2} d_2$.

In this way we see that the function Q relates two natural dualities between $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ and $\mathcal{A}(G_B)$ given, for $\omega_A = \lambda_A \otimes \rho_A \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$ and $\omega_B = \lambda_B \otimes \rho_B \in \mathcal{A}(G_B)$, by formulae:

$$\langle \omega_A, \omega_B \rangle_1 := \int_G (\lambda_A \otimes \rho_B)(\lambda_B \otimes \rho_A) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \omega_A, \omega_B \rangle_2 := \int_G (\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_B)(\rho_A \otimes \rho_B).$$

B. Comparison with the standard approach. Let $W \in B(H \otimes H)$ be a multiplicative unitary operator. As shown by Baaĵ and Skandalis [4] the set:

$$C := \overline{\{(\eta \otimes id)W, \eta \in B(H)_*\}} = \overline{\text{span}\{(\eta_{xy} \otimes id)W, x, y \in H\}},$$

(bar denotes norm closure) is a C^* -algebra with a comultiplication. This is the “standard procedure”. In this appendix we consider the following problem. For a DLG $(G; A, B)$ there is a multiplicative unitary (manageable) $W \in B(L^2(G) \otimes L^2(G))$ and we have C^* -algebra C defined as above. It is easy to see that in the definition of C , it is enough to consider vectors x, y from a dense subspace of H . So a “typical” element of C is obtained from two vectors x, y by $a_{xy} := (\eta_{xy} \otimes id)W$, in particular it is defined by two smooth half-densities on G with compact support. On the other hand we have the groupoid G_A and its reduced C^* -algebra defined in a different way, and its “typical” elements are bidensities on G_A . We can suppose that those algebras are in fact the same. We will not prove this

equality here, but we will show that $C \subset C_r^*(G_A)$. From the remark we made above, it follows that we have to interpret elements $(\eta_{xy} \otimes id)W$ as elements of $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$ for x, y – smooth with compact support. The question is: how from two smooth half-densities on G and W we can get an element of $\mathcal{A}(G_A)$?

Suppose we are given φ, ψ – half-densities on G with compact support. Let U be the bisection implementing W and let $g \in G$ be given. Consider the set $U(g, A) \in G \times G$. It is easy to see that $U(g, A) = \delta(g)$ (we recall: $\delta = m_B^T$). Let $(g_1, g_2) \in \delta(g)$. There are the following natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_1}G) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_{b_L(g)}B) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_1}^l G_B) \\ \Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_2}G) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_{b_R(g)}B) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_2}^r G_B) \\ \Omega^{1/2}(T_{b_L(g)}B) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_g^r G_A) \\ \Omega^{1/2}(T_{b_R(g)}B) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_g^l G_A) \\ \Omega^{1/2}(T_{(g_1, g_2)}\delta(g)) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_1}^l G_B) \\ \Omega^{1/2}(T_{(g_1, g_2)}\delta(g)) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_2}^r G_B)\end{aligned}$$

The first two isomorphisms follow from the fact that b_L and b_R are surjective submersions;

The third and the forth ones are given, respectively, by isomorphisms $T_{b_L(g)}B \ni X \mapsto Xa_R(g) \in T_g^r G_A$ and $T_{b_R(g)}B \ni Y \mapsto a_L(g)Y \in T_g^l G_A$;

The last two ones are due to the fact that $\pi_1 : \delta(g) \ni (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_1 \in F_B^l(g)$ and $\pi_2 : \delta(g) \ni (g_1, g_2) \mapsto g_2 \in F_B^r(g)$ are diffeomorphisms.

And consequently:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_1}G) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_g^r G_A) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(T_{(g_1, g_2)}\delta(g)) \\ \Omega^{1/2}(T_{g_2}G) &\simeq \Omega^{1/2}(T_g^l G_A) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(T_{(g_1, g_2)}\delta(g)).\end{aligned}$$

In this way, having $\varphi(g_1), \psi(g_2)$ we can define a 1-density on $T_{(g_1, g_2)}\delta(g)$ with values in one dimensional vector space $\Omega^{1/2}(T_g^l G_A) \otimes \Omega^{1/2}(T_g^r G_A)$. Let us denote the resulting mapping by Φ_g . The explicit formula for $\Phi_g(\varphi, \psi)$ is given by

$$[\Phi_g(\varphi, \psi)(u)](v \wedge w) = \varphi(g_1)(wa \wedge \pi_1(u))\psi(g_2)(\tilde{a}v \wedge \pi_2(u)), \text{ where}$$

$$u \in \Lambda^{max}T_{(g_1, g_2)}\delta(g), v \in \Lambda^{max}T_g^l G_A, w \in \Lambda^{max}T_g^r G_A, a := g^{-1}g_1, \tilde{a} := g_2g^{-1}$$

and π_1, π_2 are defined above.

Integrating $\Phi_g(\varphi, \psi)$ we get a bidensity on G_A , which will be denoted by $\Phi(\varphi, \psi)$. Finally, we define a mapping

$$\hat{\Phi} : (\varphi, \psi) \mapsto |Q|^{1/2}\Phi(\overline{s_B(\varphi)}, \psi) \text{ i.e. } \hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi)(g) = |Q|^{1/2}(g) \int_{\delta(g)} \Phi_g(\overline{s_B(\varphi)}, \psi).$$

and will prove the following

Proposition 8.1 *Let φ, ψ be smooth, compactly supported half-densities on G . Then $\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$, $\kappa(\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi)) = (\hat{\Phi}(\psi, \varphi))^*$ and $(\eta_{\varphi\psi} \otimes id)W = \pi_{id}(\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi))$.*

Proof: We begin by computing the mapping $\hat{\Phi}$. Let us choose μ_0, ν_0 – real, non-zero half-densities on $T_e B$ and $T_e A$, respectively, and let μ_l, ν_r denote the corresponding left and right-invariant half-densities on B and A . Then $\nu_r \otimes \mu_l$ is a real, non-vanishing half-density on $A \times B$ and, since the mapping $A \times B \ni (a, b) \mapsto ab \in G$ is a diffeomorphism, this half-density defines a half-density on G which will be denoted by ϵ . Explicitly

$$\epsilon(g)(Xg \wedge gY) = \nu_0(X)\mu_0(Y), \text{ for } X \in \Lambda^{max}T_e A, Y \in \Lambda^{max}T_e B.$$

Now we can write $\varphi =: f_\varphi \epsilon$, $\psi =: f_\psi \epsilon$ and $\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi) =: f_{\varphi\psi} \omega_0$. Let us define a mapping

$$\alpha : A \ni a \mapsto \alpha(a) := (m_B(g, s_B(ag)), ag) = (ga_R(ag)^{-1}, ag) \in \delta(g).$$

This is clearly a diffeomorphism. Let us put $v := gY$, $w := \tilde{Y}g$, $Y, \tilde{Y} \in \Lambda^{max}T_e B$, $\tilde{u} := Xa$, $X \in \Lambda^{max}T_e A$, then $\pi_1 \alpha(\tilde{u}) = (-1)^{\dim A} \varphi_A(b_L(ab_L(g))^{-1} s_B(ab_L(g))X$ and $\pi_2 \alpha(\tilde{u}) = Xag$. Inserting this into the formula for Φ_g and using (34) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi_g(\overline{s_B(\varphi)}, \psi)(\alpha(Xa))(gY \wedge \tilde{Y}g) = \\ & = |\varphi_A(b_L(ab_L(g)))|^{-1/2} \overline{s_B(\varphi)}(\tilde{Y} s_B(ab_L(g)) \wedge s_B(ab_L(g))X) \psi(agY \wedge Xag) = \\ & = \left| \frac{\psi_B(a)}{\psi_A(a_R(ab_L(g)))\varphi_A(b_L(ab_L(g)))} \right|^{1/2} \overline{\varphi(\tilde{Y}ab_L(g) \wedge ab_L(g)X)} \psi(agY \wedge Xag), \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$gX \wedge Yg = \frac{\psi_A(a_R(g))\varphi_A(b_L(g))}{\psi_B(a_L(g))\varphi_B(b_R(g))}(Xg \wedge gY),$$

we obtain

$$\Phi_g(\overline{s_B(\varphi)}, \psi)(\alpha(Xa))(gY \wedge \tilde{Y}g) = |\varphi_B(b_L(g))|^{-1/2} \overline{\varphi(ab_L(g)\tilde{Y} \wedge Xab_L(g))} \psi(agY \wedge Xag)$$

Finally (we also use (10))

$$f_{\varphi\psi}(g) = \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_R(g))}{\varphi_B(b_R(g))} \right|^{1/2} \int_A \nu_r^2(a) \overline{f_\varphi(ab_L(g))} f_\psi(ag). \quad (35)$$

From this equation is clear that $f_{\varphi\psi} \omega_0 \in \mathcal{A}(G_A)$.

Having the above expression and using (26) one easily proves that $\kappa(\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi)) = \hat{\Phi}(\psi, \varphi)^*$.

Now we are going to prove the last equality. Of course it is enough to prove that $(\varphi \otimes z | W(\psi \otimes t)) = (z | \pi_{id}(\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi))t)$ for compactly supported, smooth half-densities z, t on G . Let $\psi_0 =: \rho_0 \otimes \nu_l$, where ν_l is the left-invariant half-density on A defined by ν_0 . (this is ψ_0 as defined before the proof of lemma 4.4). We can write $t =: f_t \psi_0$ and $z =: f_z \psi_0$.

Using the formula (13) we have $\pi_{id}(\hat{\Phi}(\varphi, \psi))t = (f_{\varphi\psi} * f_t)\psi_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (f_{\varphi\psi} * f_t)(g) = \int_B \mu_l^2(b) f_{\varphi\psi}(a_L(g)b) f_t(b_L(a_L(g)b)^{-1}g) = \\ & = \int_B \mu_l^2(b) |\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)^{-1}b))| f_{\varphi\psi}(a_L(g)b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b) f_t(b^{-1}g) = \\ & = \int_B \mu_l^2(b) |\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)^{-1}b))| f_t(b^{-1}g) \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b))}{\varphi_B(b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b))} \right|^{1/2} \times \\ & \quad \times \int_A \nu_r^2(a) \overline{f_\varphi(ab)} f_\psi(aa_L(g)b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b)) = \\ & \int_G \epsilon^2(\tilde{g}) \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)^{-1}b_R(\tilde{g})))}{\varphi_B(b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b_R(\tilde{g})))} \right|^{1/2} \overline{f_\varphi(\tilde{g})} f_\psi(\tilde{g}a_L(b_R(\tilde{g})^{-1}g)) f_t(b_R(\tilde{g})^{-1}g) = \\ & \int_G \epsilon^2(\tilde{g}) \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)^{-1}b_R(\tilde{g})))}{\varphi_B(b_L(a_L(g)^{-1}b_R(\tilde{g})))} \right|^{1/2} \overline{f_\varphi(\tilde{g})} (f_\psi \otimes f_t)(W^{-1}(\tilde{g}, g)) \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we use a diffeomorphism $B \ni b \mapsto b_L(a_L(g)b) \in B$, then the expression for $f_{\varphi\psi}$ and finally the definition of ϵ ($\tilde{g} := ab$), and formula for W^{-1} (6).

On the other hand, doing computations similar to the ones done in the proof of lemma 6.5 we get $(\varphi \otimes z|W(\psi \otimes t)) = (z|y)$ for $y =: f_y \psi_0$ and f_y given by

$$f_y(g) = \int_G \epsilon^2(\tilde{g}) \overline{f_\varphi(\tilde{g})} [W(f_\psi \otimes f_t)](\tilde{g}, g),$$

where $W(f_\psi \otimes f_t)$ is defined by $W(f_\psi \epsilon \otimes f_t \psi_0) =: W(f_\psi \otimes f_t)(\epsilon \otimes \psi_0)$.

Since we can easily compare $\epsilon \otimes \psi_0$ with $\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0$, the expression for the function $W(f_t \otimes f_\psi)$ follows from the action of W on $\psi_0 \otimes \psi_0$ given in the proof of lemma 4.4. In this way we obtain

$$W(f_\psi \otimes f_t)(\tilde{g}, g) = (f_\psi \otimes f_t)(W^{-1}(\tilde{g}, g)) \left| \frac{\psi_B(a_R(a_L(g)^{-1} b_R(\tilde{g})))}{\varphi_B(b_L(a_L(g)^{-1} b_R(\tilde{g})))} \right|^{1/2}$$

Now insert this into formula for f_y and compare with the expression for $f_{\varphi\psi} * f_t$. This completes the proof of the proposition. ■

References

- [1] G. Kac, *Extensions of group rings to ring groups* Math. USSR Sbornik **5** (1968), 451-474.
- [2] S. Majid, *Physics for algebraists: Non-commutative and non-cocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicrossproduct construction*, J. Algebra **130** (1990), 17-64.
- [3] S. Majid, *Hopf-von Neumann Algebra Bicrossproducts, Kac Algebra Bicrossproducts and Classical Yang-Baxter Equations*, J. Functional Analysis **95** (1991), 291-319.
- [4] S. Baaj, G. Skandalis, *Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C^* -algèbres*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, **26** (1993), 425-488.
- [5] S. Vaes, L. Vainerman, *Extensions of locally compact quantum groups and the bicrossproduct construction*, Preprint Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik. MPI 2001-2.
- [6] J.H. Lu and A. Weinstein, *Poisson Lie Groups, Dressing Transformations and Bruhat Decomposition*, J. Diff. Geom. **31** (1990), 501-526.
- [7] P. Stachura, *Manageability of Multiplicative Unitaries Associated with Double Lie Groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **51**, (2000), 135-143.
- [8] S.L. Woronowicz, *From Multiplicative Unitaries to Quantum Groups*, Int. Journal of Math. **7**, No.1 (1996), 127-149.
- [9] S. Zakrzewski, *Quantum and Classical pseudogroups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **134** (1990), 347-395.
- [10] P. Stachura, *Differential groupoids and C^* -algebras*, math.QA/9905097, for a short exposition see also: *C^* -algebra of a differential groupoid*, Banach Center Publication, **51** (2000), 263-281.
- [11] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic press, San Diego, 1994.
- [12] J. Reanult, *Groupoid Approach to C^* -algebras*, LNM 793 (1980).
- [13] S. Zakrzewski, *Poisson Lie Groups and Pentagonal Transformations*, Letters in Math. Phys **24**, (1992), 13-19.
- [14] A van Daele, *Multipliers Hopf algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **342**, (1994), 917-932.

- [15] S.Vaes, J. Kustermans, *Locally compact quantum groups*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., **33** (6) (2000), 837–934
- [16] T Masuda, Y. Nakagami, S.L. Woronowicz, *C^* -algebraic framework for the duality of quantum groups*, preprint.